

### 2023 WICPA TAX CONFERENCE

YOUR SOURCE FOR KEY UPDATES & INSIGHTS ON TIMELY ISSUES



### **FEDERAL TAX UPDATE**

Find out how to be prepared for the upcoming filing season challenges, receive useful strategies for the yearend tax planning and tax return preparation process

### **IRS UPDATE**

Get the latest IRS updates, including new tools and programs all CPAs should be aware of, as well as the current status of IRS operations

Learn about the criticality of planning for the sunset of the TJCA as it relates to the enormous rewards for thinking

THURSDAY, NOV. 2 & FRIDAY, NOV. 3 **BROOKFIELD CONFERENCE CENTER & WICPA CPE LIVESTREAM** 

### 2023 WICPA TAX CONFERENCE

### MATERIALS AT A GLANCE

The following materials are from the Thursday afternoon sessions of the 2023 WICPA Tax Conference held on Thursday, Nov. 2 & Friday, Nov. 3, including:

- Charitable Contributions of Property
- Practice Management Panel
- IRS Update
- Qualified Appraisals (Valuations) for Tax Reporting Purposes
- Sec. 83 Overview & Issues
- Hot Tax & IRS Practice & Procedure Issues



## HELPING INNOVATIVE COMPANIES & CPA FIRMS



Take Advantage of

### THE R&D TAX CREDIT



### COMPLIMENTARY

Feasibility & Evaluation



### **OPTIMIZED**

Calculation & Substantiation



### **STREAMLINED**

Process & Communication

**epsa** is proud to be a **GOLD SPONSOR** of



### **FUTURE-PROOF YOUR CPA FIRM**

Technology Built By CPAs for CPAs

"Every Monday we run a bunch of reports, distribute them and then use them to report on individual performance, It's nice to be able to share that data in real-time so that our associates are 'living' it every day, versus waiting for a report."

CPA, Partner, MBE CPAs

"The reporting and dashboards in PracticeERP save me 5 hours a week. I can keep the reports and customize them to each partner's specifications. It is a gamechanger having real time data at the click of a button."

> Kali Burmester Tax Manager, MBE CPAs

"In the accounting world, predicting future revenues is difficult. With PracticeERP, we have the ability to track clients through CRM, and then have a dashboard of predicted upcoming revenue based on new clients added or lost, is huge."

CPA, CGMA, Managing Partner MBE CPAs

"I like that time entry and workflow are all in one system as well as the fact that PracticeERP is customizable. Monitoring projects on the dashboards has helped make workflow and keeping tabs on your workload way easier."

Dilyana Feneva Assurance Services Manager, MBE CPAs

### Benefits of an ERP:

- Accurate Reporting
- Dashboards with Real Time Data
- One True Source for Data
- Save Time & Increase
   Production
- Streamline Processes and Communication





### **Tailored Banking Solutions**

### for Businesses of All Shapes and Sizes

What does it mean to have a trusted community bank on board with your business? With North Shore Bank, it means we know you by name and have a unique ability to tailor our services to you. It means we're heavily invested in helping your business thrive and our communities flourish because we live, work, and play here, too.

We're a bank of longevity, time-tested for more than 100 years who has helped clients navigate ups and downs, from recessions to pandemics and beyond. We're advocates, advisors, neighbors and friends. And we never take for granted the trust you've placed in us as your banking partner.

Welcome to **North Shore Bank.** How can we best serve your business today?

- → Dedicated local, personalized service We got you!
- → Loans and lending options to meet your business needs Purchases | Expansions | Acquisitions
- Deposit options featuring a line of customized products
- → Full suite of treasury services

  Fraud Prevention | ACH | Merchant Services | Card Services

Learn more at northshorebank.com/business.

Member FDIC







### LOOKING FOR PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY COVERAGE?

OUR ACCOUNTANTS ADVANTAGE PLAN IS THE ANSWER.

Here's why.

- **Highly Rated Carrier:** AXA XL is rated (A+) by A.M. Best—one of the highest you can earn.
- Stacking Deductible Waivers: Get up to \$50,000 of your deductible waived through early resolution, settlement through arbitration/mediation, and/or the use of an engagement letter—that's real savings!
- Integrated Team: Sales, underwriting, and retention are all under one roof for faster turnarounds to save you time.
- Customer Satisfaction: We're proud to have a renewal rate of over 90%.

**Plus,** ask about additional commercial coverage. We're a full-service brokerage and we can handle all your insurance needs.





AXA XL is a division of AXA Group providing products and services through our four business groups: AXA XL Insurance, AXA XL Reinsurance, AXA XL Art & Lifestyle and AXA XL Risk Consulting. Coverage is underwritten by the following AXA XL companies: Greenwich Insurance Company and Indian Harbor Insurance Company. Not all insurers do business in all jurisdictions nor is coverage available in all jurisdictions. AXA, the AXA and XL logos are trademarks of AXA SA or its affiliates.

\*based on 2020 Client Retention Rates

CONTACT AN INSURANCE SPECIALIST AND LEARN MORE TODAY!

(800) 619-1914 | pearlinsurance.com/WICPA

# TAILORED SOLUTIONS FOR OVERCOMING YOUR TAX CHALLENGES

Partner with a future-ready firm to guide you through the ever-changing tax landscape





At Spectrum Investment Advisors, we help our clients on their path toward a brighter financial future.

Our core services are built to empower, support, and educate so our clients have the confidence to make informed decisions on their financial journey.

### **Wealth Management**

**Financial Wellness** 

**Retirement Plans** 



Spectrum Investment Advisors 6329 West Mequon Rd. Mequon, WI 53092 262-238-4010 | www.spectruminvestor.com Spectrum Investment Advisors, Inc. is an SEC registered investment adviser located in Mequon, WI. Registration with the SEC does not imply a certain level of skill or training. Our Privacy Notice, as well as our Form ADV Parts 2A and 2B and our Form CRS are available for you to view at: https://spectruminvestor.com/disclosures/

We specialize

in multi-state sales/use tax consulting, serving companies of all sizes across most industries.

We leverage

our knowledge of laws, rules, and exemptions, to help clients mitigate sales/use tax exposure and improve compliance.

We partner

with CPA and CFO firms on a national level to help them support their clients' sales/use tax needs.

# THE SALES TAX LEAM

State and Local Tax Specialists

With offices in California, Texas, and Wisconsin, and over 90 years of combined sales tax consulting experience, the firm assists businesses with:

- Sales tax audit representation
- M&A due diligence
- Multi-state taxability reviews
- Nexus determinations

- Internal audits
- Refund requests
- Training
- Registrations and returns

Wisconsin Office

Katie Govier Katie.Govier@TSTTLLC.com

California Office

Mason Jordan Mason.Jordan@TSTTLLC.com

Texas Office

Todd Filo Todd.Filo@TSTTLLC.com
Kathy Mayeux Kathy.Mayeux@TSTTLLC.com
Jerry Pennington Jerry.Pennington@TSTTLLC.com
Annette Gioia Annette.Gioia@TSTTLLC.com

### YOUR TRUSTED TAX SOLUTION

The Tax Section of von Briesen & Roper, s.c. is your resource for tax situations ranging from the traditional to the most complex including: State and Federal Voluntary Disclosures, FBAR/Foreign Asset Disclosures, Transfer Pricing, Property Tax Exemption Requests, Employee Classification Issues and State Tax Nexus Studies. Our knowledge and experience have positioned us to be your trusted solution on unique tax matters. The bottom line? We get results.

To learn more about our Tax Section, please contact Daniel Welytok at daniel.welytok@vonbriesen.com.





Insurance coverage is *really just a promise*.


Protect what's important to you with the promise of "we'll take care of you" from an agency and insurance company you trust.

Find out more about the Silver Lining and a special discount on home and auto insurance just for members of the Wisconsin Institute of CPAs.

To find an agency near you, visit thesilverlining.com.







### YOU have the opportunity to impact thousands of students and educators in Wisconsin.

Through your contribution to the WICPA Educational Foundation, you can help us reach students and educators in high school and college to create awareness about the accounting profession.

As the end of 2023 draws near and you are thinking about tax planning, consider donating to the WICPA Educational Foundation.

*Questions?* Contact Tammy J. Hofstede, WICPA President and CEO at tammy@wicpa.org.

To contribute, visit wicpa.org/EF.



### Join the WICPA Educational Foundation Board!


The WICPA Educational Foundation is seeking members to serve on its board of directors.




### Some of the opportunities include:

- Assisting in efforts to attract students to the profession.
- Providing strategic governance in accordance with the WICPA Educational Foundation mission.
- Acquiring new leadership skills.

The WICPA Educational Foundation plays a pivotal role in supporting programs to improve awareness and perceptions by educating students and educators about the exciting opportunities available to accounting professionals.





### Join the WICPA Board of Directors!

The WICPA is seeking members to serve on its board of directors.

### Opportunities include:

- Staying up to date on professional issues
- Providing strategic governance in accordance with the WICPA strategic plan, mission and vision
- Acquiring new leadership and training skills

Applicants must be WICPA CPA members in good standing. A "CPA member" is defined as a WICPA member who has obtained a certificate as a CPA from the Accounting Examining Board of the State of Wisconsin, or from a similar legally constituted authority in any other state, possession or territory of the United States or the District of Columbia.



To apply, visit wicpa.org/BoardApplication through Nov. 15, 2023.

### Questions?

Contact tammy@wicpa.org.





12:45 - 1:45 p.m.

### Charitable Contributions of Property

Robert Teuber, JD, Shareholder, von Briesen & Roper, s.c.

### Charitable Contributions of Property

ROBERT B. TEUBER, VON BRIESEN & ROPER, S.C.





### Robert B. Teuber

robert.teuber@vonbriesen.com

**Rob Teuber** is a shareholder with von Briesen & Roper, s.c. working out of the Milwaukee and Waukesha offices of the firm. His practice focuses on tax disputes and controversies nationally, regionally and locally with the Internal Revenue Service, Wisconsin Department of Revenue and municipal tax authorities.

In his federal practice, Rob assists clients in efficiently resolving IRS tax examinations of income and employment taxes, challenging Affordable Care Act penalty allegations and addressing international information reporting matters including FBARs and other disclosures. Where issues cannot be resolved in the examination division, Rob frequently works to resolve complicated issues with the IRS Independent Office of Appeals or before the Tax Court.

Rob's state tax practice involves working with the Wisconsin Department of Revenue on income, employment, sales & use and exposition tax audits and appeals through the Resolution Unit. He has successfully represented clients through the Wisconsin Tax Appeals Commission where a satisfactory resolution could not be achieved through the WDOR's administrative process. As with his federal practice, he assists clients in resolving any remaining liabilities in the collection division.

### CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS The Basics

3

### **The Basics**

- •IRC §170
  - There shall be allowed as a deduction any charitable contribution payment which is made within the taxable year.

### The Basics

Who may receive a charitable contribution?

- The United States or any state, the District of Columbia, a U.S. possession (including Puerto Rico), a political subdivision of a state or U.S. possession, or an Indian tribal government or any of its subdivisions that perform substantial government functions.
- · Certain war veterans' organizations.
- · Certain domestic fraternal societies.
- Certain nonprofit cemetery companies/corporations

5

### The Basics

 A community chest, corporation, trust, fund, or foundation organized or created in or under the laws of the United States, any state, the District of Columbia, or any possession of the United States (including Puerto Rico). It must, however, be organized and operated only for charitable, religious, scientific, literary, or educational purposes, or for the prevention of cruelty to children or animals. Certain organizations that foster national or international amateur sports competition also qualify.

### **The Basics**

- A transfer of money or property must occur.
  - Cash and cash equivalents
  - Stock
  - Real Estate
  - Tangible Personal Property
  - Intangible Property (i.e. patents, royalties)

7

### CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS Substantiation

### **Substantiation**

### Contribution Thresholds:

- Less than \$250
- \$250 or more, but not more than \$500
- \$500 or more, but not more than \$5,000
- \$5,001 but not more than \$500,000
- More than \$500,000
- \$250 or more, but not more than \$500

Contributions of art are subject to different thresholds.

9

### **Substantiation**

### Contemporaneous Written Acknowledgement:

- The amount of cash and a description (but not value) of any property other than cash contributed.
- Whether the donee organization provided any goods or services in consideration, in whole or in part, for any property described.
- A description and good faith estimate of the value of any goods or services referred to or, if such goods and services consist solely of intangible religious benefits, a statement to that effect.
- A CWA is contemporaneous if it is obtained on or before the earlier
  of the date on which the taxpayer files a return for the taxable year
  in which the contribution was made, or, the due dated (including
  extensions) for filing such return.
- See *Izen, Jr. v. Comm'r*, 38 F. 4<sup>th</sup> 459 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2022)

### **Substantiation**

### **Appraisal Summary**

- For non-cash contributions of more than \$500, a Form 8283, Noncash Charitable Contributions, must be submitted with the filed tax return.
- Section A Donated Property of \$5,000 or Less and Publicly Traded Securities.
- Section B Donated Property Over \$5,000 (with exceptions).

11

### **Substantiation**

### **Qualified Appraisal**

- In the case of contributions of property for which a deduction of more than \$5,000 is claimed, the donor must obtain a qualified appraisal.
- For contributions of more than \$500,000, the qualified appraisal must be attached to the filed tax return.
- Requirements:
  - Must be performed by a Qualified Appraiser.
  - Must include the information required under Treasury Regulation §1.170A-17(a)(3).
- Other issues substantial compliance and reasonable cause

### **Substantiation**

### **Exceptions from Qualified Appraisal Requirements:**

- Non-publicly traded stock of \$10,000 or less.
- A vehicle for which the deduction is limited to the gross proceeds of the sale.
- Qualified intellectual property.
- Certain Publicly traded securities.
- Inventory and other corporate donations that are "qualified contributions for the care of the ill, needy or infants.
- Stock in trade, inventory, or property held primarily for the sale to customers in the ordinary course of trade of business

13

### CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS Case Studies

### Cryptocurrencies

- A deduction for donated cryptocurrencies is allowed provided the substantiation requirements are satisfied.
  - Must obtain a contemporaneous written acknowledgment for gifts of \$250 or more.
  - Form 8283, Noncash Charitable Contributions must be signed by the charity if greater than \$5,000.
  - Qualified Appraisal. IRS guidance is clear that they consider cryptocurrencies to be property. Therefore, a qualified appraisal is required for contributions in excess of \$5,000.
  - Cryptocurrencies do not qualify for the publicly traded securities or any other exemption from the Qualified Appraisal requirements (at this time).

15

### Hoensheid v Comm'r, T.C. Memo. 2023-34

### Facts:

 Donor contributed a portion of his stock holdings to Fidelity Charitable. Soon after, a 3<sup>rd</sup> party purchased his remaining stock and that held by Fidelity pursuant to a prearranged transaction.

### The Tax Court held:

- The donor must recognize capital gain on the of the stock.
- No charitable contribution deduction is available for the failure to meet the qualified appraisal requirements.

### Hoensheid v Comm'r, T.C. Memo. 2023-34

- The donor must recognize capital gain on the of the stock.
  - Anticipatory Assignment of Income
    - "income is taxed to those who earn or otherwise create the right to receive it and that tax cannot be avoided by anticipatory arrangements and contracts however skillfully devised"
    - "A person with a fixed right to receive income from property thus cannot avoid taxation by arranging for another to gratuitously take title before the income is received"
    - The court looked to the substance, rather than form, of the underlying transaction.
    - A donor's right to income from shares of stock is fixed if a transaction involving those shares has become "practically certain to occur" by the time of the gift despite the remote and hypothetical possibility of abandonment.

17

### Hoensheid v Comm'r, T.C. Memo. 2023-34

- The donor must recognize capital gain on the of the stock.
  - Anticipatory Assignment of Income
    - The record established that the donor's charitable contribution would not have been made but for the impending sale of the company stock.
    - To avoid an anticipatory assignment of income on the contribution of appreciated shares of stock followed by a sale by the donee, a donor must bear at least some risk at the time of contribution that the sale will not close.
    - The Hoensheid case does not establish a bright line rule.

### Hoensheid v Comm'r, T.C. Memo. 2023-34

- No charitable contribution deduction is available for the failure to meet the qualified appraisal requirements.
  - The donor's made a gift in the form of an assignment of income.
    - A charitable contribution is a gift of property to a charitable organization made with charitable intent and without the receipt or expectation of receipt of adequate consideration."
  - The donor failed to obtain a qualified appraisal.
    - The appraiser was not a "Qualified Appraiser" under the regulations.
    - A sufficient description of the appraiser's qualifications was not included in the appraisal.
    - The incorrect date of contribution was included in the appraisal.
    - The failure to properly substantiate the contribution was not excused by the doctrines of reasonable cause or substantial compliance.

19

### Other Challenges to **Qualified Appraisals**

- Insufficient description of donated property.
- Insufficient description of the condition of the donated property.
- The valuation effective date.
- The fair market value of the property on the valuation effective date.
- The terms of any agreement between donor and donee restricting the use of the property or reserving rights and earmarks for use.
- Information about the qualified appraiser and signature.

### Other Challenges to **Qualified Appraisals**

- The appraiser's declaration.
- A statement that the appraisal was prepared for income tax purposes.
- A statement that the appraisal was prepared for income tax purposes.
- The method of valuation (i.e. income approach, sales comparison approach, cost approach).
- The specific basis for the valuation.

21

### **Challenges to Valuation**

In addition to challenging whether a charitable contribution occurred and whether the substantiation requirements were satisfied, the IRS will often also challenge the valuation made by criticizing:

- the valuation methods relied upon,
- the weight given to a particular method,
- the sales comparisons chosen by the appraiser, or
- the market analysis conducted by the appraiser.



### **Thank You**



Robert B. Teuber robert.teuber@vonbriesen.com 414.270.2538



12:45 – 1:45 p.m.

### Practice Management Panel


Ryan Laughlin, CPA, JD, MST, AEP, Partner, Hawkins Ash CPAs, LLP

Joshua TeBeest, CPA, Shareholder, Tax Service Line Leader, Huberty









### **Dealing with IRS**

### **Increased IRS Funding**

### Breakdown of \$80 billion:

- \$45.6 billion for enforcement, which includes examinations, collections, criminal investigations, legal and litigation support, and digital asset monitoring.
- \$25.3 billion for operations support, including legacy information technology systems and telecommunications.
- \$4.8 billion for business systems modernization, including technology to improve customer service.
- \$3.2 billion for taxpayer services, including pre-filing assistance and education, filing and account services, and funding for Taxpayer Advocate Service.



That'll buy you one heck of a pumpkin!

5


Quarles


# • Decrease Work • Revenue Neutral • Increase Quality • Stratification • But, does this work? Quarles











2 - 3 p.m.

### IRS Update

Michael Smith, Senior Stakeholder Liaison, Internal Revenue Service



#### 2023 IRS Updates for Tax **Professionals**

November 2023





#### IRS Stakeholder Liaison

#### Who we are:

 Team within IRS that establishes relationships with practitioner and industry organizations representing small business and self-employed taxpayers. We provide information about the policies, practices and procedures the IRS uses to ensure compliance with the tax laws.

#### What we do:

- Share IRS news and updates
- Education and outreach (webinars, educational events, etc.)
- Issue Management Resolution System (IMRS) tell us about IRS issues, we need your feedback!

Find us by searching "Stakeholder Liaison" on IRS.gov



### What's New at the IRS in 2023

- Inflation Reduction Act
- Tax return processing status (backlogs)
- New clean vehicle and clean energy credits
- Employee Retention Credit
- Data Security for Tax Pros
- Tax Pro Account and Online Account
- IRS resources for tax professionals
- Hiring initiatives





#### **2022 Inflation Reduction Act**

- August 16, 2022 IRA was signed into law. This marked a transformational moment for the Internal Revenue Service – and an opportunity for the future of tax administration.
- IRS will receive nearly \$80 billion of funding over next 10 years (reduced to ~\$60B)
- Goals:
  - improve taxpayer service and technology,
  - increase staff.
  - and ensure that all taxpayers are paying their fair share.





#### **Compliance / Service Transformation**

#### **Compliance Transformation:**

\$45.6 billion for tax enforcement:

hiring more enforcement agents, providing legal support, and investing in "investigative technology"

#### **Service Transformation:**

\$3.2 billion for taxpayer services such as filing and account services, prefiling assistance, and education.





#### **Information Technology Modernization**

#### \$4.8 billion for Business Systems Modernization

• Improving customer service technology (automated callback systems)

The additional funding will enable the IRS to tackle the transformation of core tax systems and address some major pain points for taxpayers, businesses, tax professionals and others.







# IRS Operations: Filed a Tax Return in 2022 (and later)

Unprocessed Tax Returns	As of Sept. 2023	As of Sept. 2022
Form 1040	945,000	6,900,000
Form 1040-X	894,000	1,600,000
Form 941	9,000	4,000,000
Form 941-X	735,000	199,000






# IRS Operations: Filed a Tax Return in 2022 (and later)

	As of Sept. 2023	As of Sept. 2022
Answered a letter or notice	20+ weeks	20+ weeks
Applied for an ITIN	1-2 months	N/A
ID Theft (Form 14039)	480 days	N/A



# IRS Operations: Need Help

- Phones 13 minute average wait
- IRS.gov
- Online Account
- IRS.gov/payments
- Interactive Tax Assistant
- Taxpayer Assistance Centers
- Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS)





- Homeowners
- Renters
- Second home used as residence

Credits are available for homes that you use as a residence





#### **Energy Efficient Home Improvement Credit (continued)**

#### 30% credit, with a \$1,200 annual credit limit for

- 1. qualified energy efficiency improvements known as building envelope components
- 2. residential energy property expenditures made during the year, and
- 3. home energy audits conducted during the year (maximum of \$150)

#### 30% credit, with a \$2,000 annual credit limit for

- 1. heat pumps and heat pump water heaters, and
- 2. biomass stoves and boilers.

The credit is allowed for qualifying property placed in service on or after January 1, 2023, and before January 1, 2033.



#### **New Clean Vehicles**

# Credit for New Clean Vehicles Purchased in 2023 or After (Cont.)

#### **Qualified Vehicles**

To qualify, a vehicle must:

- . Have a battery capacity of at least 7 kilowatt hours
- · Have a gross vehicle weight rating of less than 14,000 pounds
- . Be made by a qualified manufacturer.
- · Undergo final assembly in North America

Fuel Cell Vehicles (FCVs) do not need to be made by a qualified manufacturer to be eligible. But qualified manufacturers of EVs must also report for their FCVs. See <u>Rev. Proc. 2022-42</u> for more detailed guidance.






#### **New Clean Vehicles**

# **Credit for New Clean Vehicles Purchased in 2023 or After (Cont.)**

A list of eligible clean vehicles may be found at federal government's website:

https://www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/tax2023.shtml



available to:


Owners of qualified commercial buildingsDesigners of EECBP/EEBRP installed in


buildings owned by specified tax-exempt


the deduction for EECBP equals the lesser of:

The savings per square foot calculated as:

The cost of the installed property









To report tax-related illegal activities relating to ERC claims, submit by fax or mail a completed Form 14242, Report Suspected Abusive Tax Promotions or Preparers and any supporting materials to the IRS Lead Development Center in the Office of Promoter Investigations.





# **Tax Security Awareness**



- · Client e-filed returns begin to reject
- Clients who haven't filed tax returns begin to receive authentication letters (5071C, 4883C, 5747C) from the IRS
- Clients who haven't filed tax returns receive refunds







#### **Data Compromise Action Items**

#### **Contact IRS and law enforcement**

- Tax professionals contact IRS Stakeholder Liaisons immediately
  - · Search "stakeholder liaison" on IRS.gov





#### **Data Compromise Actions**

#### **Contact State Agencies:**

- State revenue agencies email Federation of Tax Administrators for state agency contacts at StateAlert@taxadmin.org
- · State Attorneys General

#### **Contact experts:**

- Security expert
- Insurance company





# **Data Compromise Actions**

#### - continued

#### **Contact Clients and Other Services**

- FTC for guidance for businesses
  - Email: idt-brt@ftc.gov
- Credit Bureaus
- Clients

Review guidance at IRS.gov/identitytheft



#### Info for affected clients

- IRS Pub 5027, Identity Theft Information for Taxpayers
- · Place a "fraud alert" or "credit freeze" with at least one of the three credit bureaus
- File a complaint with the Federal Trade Commission
- · Review FTC's www.identitytheft.gov for additional steps





# Develop a Written Information Security Plan

The special plan, called a Written Information Security Plan or WISP (PDF), is outlined in a 29-page document that's been worked on by members of the Security Summit, including tax professionals, software and industry partners, representatives from state tax groups and the IRS.



#### Email: phishing@irs.gov

- Forward phishing emails with federal tax related contact to this address.
- •Forward phishing text messages to: 202-552-1226

#### File a complaint with the FBI's IC3

Search "IC3" or Internet Crime Complaint Center






# Expansion of Taxpayer Options for 3rd Party Authorizations launched in the summer of 2021

- Added "authorization" feature to individual Online Accounts.
- Launched Tax Pro Account on IRS.gov to allow tax professionals to initiate online POA or TIA requests.
  - Tax professional initiates a POA or TIA, uses checkbox as electronic signature for POAs.
  - POA or TIA requests automatically transfers to individual taxpayer's Online Account.
  - Taxpayer accesses their Online Account and under the "Authorization" tab they can approve the request and use a checkbox as signature.
  - · Upon approval, authorization is posted immediately to CAF.

Tax Pro Account









#### **Tax Pro Account: Future State**

- IRS will continue to expand Tax Pro Account capabilities to improve its features for authorization requests and to add functionality as resources allow.
- Here are just some of the features we are working, planning, or considering:
  - Notification to the taxpayer regarding action in their Online Account, to include pending authorization requests
  - Email alerts letting the tax professional know when taxpayer approves their authorization request
  - Taxpayer's ability to view their complete authorization history.
  - Tax professional's ability to view and manage all their active authorizations on CAF processed through all channels

Tax Pro Account








# e-News for Tax Professionals


#### **Information about:**

- IRS news releases and announcements
- Upcoming webinars
- Other information of interest to tax pros

Sign up at: www.irs.gov – search keyword "e-News"













# Current IRS Job openings

- 275 Job listings open to the public nationwide
- 251 of these are available in Wisconsin

September 20, 2023 announcement: IRS to establish new workgroup to focus on complex partnerships, other key areas

- 3,772 positions open
- \$98,496 \$158,432 per year
- https://www.usajobs.gov/job/748385600#





# Where to find job listings


#### www.jobs.irs.gov

- IRS Career fairs (most are virtual)
- Lists of positions and descriptions

#### www.usajobs.gov

Where to apply for jobs









# General IRS salaries

#### **Experience levels:**

- Recent college grad: GS 7-11 (\$47 \$60K)
- Mid-career: GS 11, 12, 13 (\$69 \$128K)
- Advanced/specialist: GS 14, 15 (\$116 \$178K)
- Management (add 10-20% to these ranges)





#### **General IRS salaries**

#### Benefits of federal service:

- 13 paid federal holidays
- 13 days annual leave + 13 days sick leave
- Regular annual increases + annual COLA
- Federal pension upon retirement
- Most positions qualify for telework



# Contact information

#### **Michael Smith**

IRS Stakeholder Liaison - Wisconsin

211 W Wisconsin Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53203

(414) 231-2199

michael.smith6@irs.gov

- Report issues with IRS policies, practices, procedures
- Help navigating IRS processes
- Presentations/workshops on current IRS issues or tax topics

3:10 - 4 p.m.

# Qualified Appraisals (Valuations) for Tax Reporting Purposes

Mark Heroux, JD, Tax Partner, Baker Tilly

Bruce Hutler, CFA, ASA, CVA, Partner, Baker Tilly

# **6** bakertilly

# Qualified Appraisals (Valuations) for Tax Reporting Purposes

Bruce Hutler, CFA, ASA, CVA Mark Heroux, JD

Baker Tilly US, LLP, trading as Baker Tilly, is a member of the global network of Baker Tilly International Ltd., the members of which are separate and independent legal entities. © 2023 Baker Tilly US, LLP.






#### Bruce Hutler, CFA, ASA, CVA

Mr. Hutler is a Partner at Baker Tilly US, LLP, and has been active in all aspects of business valuation and financial analysis on a full-time basis for over thirty years completing thousands of valuations. Mr. Hutler holds a Master of Business Administration degree with a major in Finance, Investment, and Banking from the University of Wisconsin - Madison and a Bachelor of Business Administration degree with majors in Finance and Real Estate from the University of Wisconsin Milwaukee.





#### Mark Heroux, JD

Mr. Heroux has more than 35 years of tax litigation, technical and program management experience. specializes in IRS procedures, controversy and dispute resolution. He began his career with the IRS Chief Counsel in 1985 where he litigated cases around the world for the IRS. When Mark left the IRS in 2000, he was a Department of Justice Special Assistant United States Attorney, industry counsel for the nation for the insurance industry, and a senior trial attorney who helped litigate the two largest transfer pricing cases in history. At Baker Tilly, he leads the IRS Practice and Procedures group and provides tax and business advisory services to middle-market and large companies and high-net-worth individuals.



# **Overview**

- > What is required to be considered a qualified appraisal for tax reporting purposes
- > Questions you should be asking the appraiser
- > Reasons why a qualified appraisal would be necessary
- > Situations in which your client would need a qualified appraisal
- > What you and your clients should expect from a qualified appraisal



# Qualified Appraisals and Appraisers

### **Definition of Value**

For estate and gift tax purposes, assets must be valued at *fair market value*. Section 20.2031-1(b) of the Estate Tax Regulations and Section 25.2512-1 of the Gift Tax Regulations define *fair market value* as follows:

". . . the price at which the property would change hands between a willing buyer and a willing seller when the former is not under any compulsion to buy and the latter is not under any compulsion to sell, both parties having reasonable knowledge of relevant facts."



# **Qualified Appraisals and Appraisers**

The IRS issued guidance relating to the definitions of qualified appraiser and qualified appraisal for charitable contributions of non-cash property.

- An appraisal should be conducted in accordance with generally accepted appraisal standards.
- > The Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) is an example of accepted standards.

# **Qualified Appraisals: Valuation Standards**

- > The Appraisal Foundation: Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice
- > American Institute of Certified Public Accountants: Statement on Standards for Valuation Services
- American Society of Appraisers: Business Valuation Standards
- National Association of Certified Valuation Analysts and Institute of Business Appraisers: *Professional Standards*
- Internal Revenue Service: Business Valuation Guidelines



# **Qualified Appraiser**

#### The requirements will be treated as met if the appraiser:

- Earned an appraisal designation from a recognized professional appraiser organization;
- Regularly performs appraisals for which the individual receives compensation;
- Successfully completed college or professional-level coursework relevant to the appraised property
- Obtained at least two years of experience in the trade or business of buying, selling, or valuing the type of property under appraisal; and
- Included in the appraisal a full description of his or her education and experience that qualifies him or her to value the type of property being appraised.

IRC Sec. 170(f)(11)(E)(ii); Reg. 1.170A-13(c)(5); IRS Notice 2006-96



# **Questions To Ask the Appraiser**

- What professional designations do you hold that relate to business valuation?
- What experience do you have that qualifies you to value the type of property being appraised?
- What adjustments did you make or consider making to the Company's financial information and why?
- What did you learn about the Company on your site visit and interviews?
- What industry information did you use and how did it impact your conclusion of
- What valuation methods did you consider/use and why?





# **Questions To Ask the Appraiser**

- Did management provide you with cash flow projections and if so, did you use them?
- Did you consider historical earnings and if so, how did you use them?
- Were you able to find any comparable transactions? If so, how did you select the multiple to apply to the company?
- What discounts did you consider (e.g., lack of control, lack of marketability, lack of voting rights, trapped in capital gains).
- What sources of information did you use to support the discounts?
- What does your conclusion of value imply as a multiple of historical or future earnings? Is it reasonable and supportable?







The IRS's rules set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 301.6501(c)-1(f)(3), published December 3, 1999, apply to all gifts made after August 5, 1997



# **Adequate Disclosure for Gifts**

- ➤ The IRS is precluded from revaluing gifts if the gift was adequately disclosed on the gift tax return and the statute of limitations for the gift tax return has expired.
- ➤ This rule also prevents the IRS from revaluing gifts when reporting adjusted taxable gifts on estate tax returns.

Reg. 301.6501(c)-1(f)



For gift tax purposes, qualified appraisers and qualified appraisals are defined similarly in the regulations, but with additional requirements or restrictions.

Reg. 301.6501(c)-1(f)(3)





# **Adequate Disclosure for Gifts**

- ➤ To meet the adequately disclosed requirement, regulations require taxpayers to furnish extensive information with the gift tax return to cause the statute of limitations to begin.
- Instead of the information listed in the regulations, the donor may submit an appraisal of the transferred property prepared by a qualified appraiser.

Regs. 20.2001-1; 25.2504-2; and 301.6501(c)-1(e) and (f)





#### The appraiser must meet the following requirements:

- The appraiser is an individual who holds himself or herself out to the public as an appraiser or performs appraisals on a regular basis.
- ➤ The appraiser is qualified to make appraisals of the type of property being valued. This requirement is satisfied by a detailed description of the appraiser's background, experience, education, and membership, if any, in professional appraisal associations.
- ➤ The appraiser is not (a) the donor or donee of the property, (b) a family member of the donor or donee, or (c) any person employed by the donor, donee, or member of the family of either.

Reg. 301.6501(c)-1(f)(3)





# **Adequate Disclosure for Gifts**

#### The appraisal must contain the following:

- > Date of the transfer
- Date on which the property was appraised (appraisal date)
- Purpose of the appraisal
- Description of the property
- Description of the appraisal process, including the valuation method(s) considered and/or used
- Description of the assumptions relied upon or any hypothetical conditions

Reg. 301.6501(c)-1(f)(3)





#### The appraisal must contain the following:

- Descriptions of any limiting conditions and restrictions on the transferred property that affect the analyses, opinions, and conclusions
- Information considered in determining the appraised value, including all financial information that was used in sufficient detail to allow another to replicate the appraisal process and arrive at the appraised value
- Appraisal procedures followed, and the reasoning that supports the analysis, opinions, and conclusions

Reg. 301.6501(c)-1(f)(3)





# **Adequate Disclosure for Gifts**

The appraisal must contain the following:

- Valuation method utilized, the rationale for the valuation method, and the procedure used in determining the fair market value of the asset transferred
- Specific basis for the valuation, such as specific comparable sales or transactions, sales of similar interests, asset-based approaches, mergeracquisition transactions, etc.

Reg. 301.6501(c)-1(f)(3)





# **Appraiser Penalty**

# **Appraiser Penalty**

- > The Pension Protection Act of 2006 granted the IRS powers to sanction individuals who perform valuations for any tax purposes, including estate, gift, and income taxation.
- > If a person prepares a property appraisal, and knows (or reasonably should have known) that the appraisal would be used in connection with a return or a claim for refund, that person is subject to a penalty if the claimed property value results in a substantial estate or gift tax valuation misstatement under IRC Sec. 6662(g) or a gross estate or gift tax valuation misstatement under IRC Sec. 6662(h) for the property.

#### **Appraiser Penalty**

- ➤ Penalties for negligence
- Substantial valuation misstatement
- Substantial estate or gift tax valuation understatement (under Internal Revenue Code section 6662)
- ► Understatement of reportable transactions (under Internal Revenue Code section 6662A)



#### **Appraiser Penalty**

The amount of the penalty equals the lesser of (IRC Sec. 6695A):

- the greater of: (a) 10% of the underpayment; or (b) \$1,000; or
- ➤ 125% of the gross income received by the appraiser for the appraisal services.

No penalty will be imposed if the appraiser establishes that the appraised value was "more likely than not" the proper value. Unless the appraiser can satisfy the "more likely than not" standard, the IRS must impose a penalty.







#### **Burden of Proof**

IRC Sec. 7491 provides that if a taxpayer presents credible evidence regarding any factual issue relevant to determining the estate, gift, or generation-skipping transfer taxes, the IRS will have the burden of proof with respect to such an issue.

#### **Common Gift & Estate Audit Issues**


- ➤ Standard of Value
  - Fair market value
  - Hypothetical buyer and seller
  - Consider both buyer and seller
- **➢** Discounts
  - Failure to support the discounts selected
- >Tax-Affecting
  - ❖ Failure to address and support position
- >Illogical Conclusion
  - Conclusion not supported based on the data
- ➤ Subsequent Events



#### **Important Recent Valuation Cases**

- > Cecil v. Commissioner
- > Nelson v. Commissioner
- > Warne v. Commissioner
- > Grieve v. Commissioner
- > Kress v. United States







3:10 – 4 p.m.

### Sec. 83 Overview & Issues

Kieran Coe, JD, Attorney, Godfrey & Kahn S.C.

Timothy Smith, JD, Tax Attorney, Godfrey & Kahn S.C.

# Code Section 83 – Equity Compensation Risks and Considerations

November 2, 2023 Kieran Coe & Tim Smith

GODFREY#FKAHNs.c.

MILWAUKEE | MADISON | GREEN BAY | APPLETON | WASHINGTON, D.C.

#### Code Section 83

▶ "If, in connection with the performance of services, property is transferred to any person other than the person for whom such services are performed, the excess of— (1) the fair market value of such property . . . Over (2) the amount (if any) paid for such property, shall be included in the gross income of the person who performed such services in the first taxable year in which the rights of the person having the beneficial interest in such property . . . are not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, whichever is applicable."



#### Section 83

- ▶ Types of common property—Stock (granted outright or purchased (e.g., exercise of option)), Restricted Stock, or Capital Interests in an LLC)
- When does it apply?
  - ▷ It applies when there is a *compensatory* transfer of property.
- ▶ What does it do?
  - > Governs the taxation of such transfers.
    - ▶ Property transferred in connection with the performance of services is taxed when it has been transferred to the service provider and has become substantially vested (no longer subject to a "substantial risk of forfeiture" ("SRF")).\* See Treas. Reg. §1.83-1(a)(1).
    - \*Assumes no Section 83(b) election.



#### Section 83 SRF

- ▶ What is the significance of a SRF?
  - ▶ The presence of a SRF determines when an individual will be taxed. in connection with the receipt of the property.
    - ► Example (Assumes no Section 83(b) election)
      - - ▶ Assume restricted stock is transferred to an employee at no cost which will only vest if the employee remains employed with the company in year 3, or, if sooner, upon a change in control of the company.
      - ▶ Result
        - ▶ Employee will be taxed, at ordinary income rates, on the fair market value ("FMV") of the shares in year 3, or, if earlier, a change in control.

GODFREY#KAHNsc

#### Section 83(b) Election

- ▶ What is it?
  - regulations to elect immediate taxation of transferred property (30 days after transfer).
- Benefits of an Election?
  - > The future appreciation in the property's value after the date of grant will be taxed at preferential capital gains rates.
  - ▶ Especially beneficial with property that has a low (i.e., \$0.01 (e.g., start-ups)) FMV at time of transfer.
- Potential Downsides?
  - ▷ No deduction above the amount paid for property that is forfeited prior to vesting, or depreciation in value of property.



#### Section 83(b) Election and Pass-Throughs

- ▶ Other than attempting to capture appreciation as a capital gain, a Section 83(b) election is important to properly treat employees as owners/partners for allocation and distribution purposes.
  - S-Corporations (Treas. Reg. Section 1.1361-1(b)(3)) (Estate of Arthur E. Kechijian v. Commissioner, No. 18-2277 (4th Cir. 2020)); and
  - ▷ LLCs and Partnerships (Crescent Holdings, LLC v. Commissioner, 141 T.C. No. 15)

GODFREY#KAHNsc

#### Section 83- How it Works

Grant of Restricted Stock					
Date	Fair Market Value Per Share	Number of Shares	Total FMV	Regular Section 83 Taxation	Taxation With 83(b) Election
Grant (Year 1)	\$1	5,000	\$5,000	\$0	\$1,850 37% (\$5,000)
Vesting (Year 3)	\$20	5,000	\$100,000	\$37,000 37% (\$100,000)	\$0
Sale (Year 5)	\$25	5,000	\$125,000	\$5,000 20% (\$25,000)	\$24,000 20% (\$120,000)
Total Tax				\$42,000	\$25,850

37%-Ordinary Income Tax Rate; 20% Capital Gain Tax Rate; Grant at \$0 cost

GODFREY#KAHNs.

#### Section 83 SRF Definition

- ▶ When is there a SRF?
  - ▷ "...whether a risk of forfeiture is substantial or not depends upon the facts and circumstances." Treas. Reg. §1.83-3(c).
  - ▷ "a [SRF] exists only if rights in property that are transferred are conditioned, directly or indirectly, [1] upon the future performance (or refraining from performance) of substantial services by any person, or [2] upon the occurrence of a condition related to a purpose of the transfer if the possibility of forfeiture is substantial." Treas. Reg. §1.83-3(c).

#### Substantial Future Services

- ▶ Regulations provide factors to determine whether future services are substantial:
  - ▷ Regularity of performance of services

  - ▷ Ability to decline performance without forfeiture
- Examples
  - - ► Transferred Property vests in full in 3 years or vests 1/3 per year only if employee remains a full-time employee during the relevant period.
  - - ▶ A company transfers property to independent contractor that vests in three years if independent contractor is "on call" to perform services for the company. Independent contractor can refuse to perform and not forfeit property.

GODFREY#KAHN<sub>SC</sub>

9

## Condition Related To A Purpose Of The Transfer

#### ▶ Example

- A company valued at \$5 million transfers restricted stock to an employee that vests only on a change in control where the company is valued at \$10 million regardless of whether the employee remains employed with the company.
- Recall, "upon the occurrence of a condition related to a purpose of the transfer <u>if the possibility of forfeiture is</u> substantial." Treas. Reg. §1.83-3(c).

GODFREY#KAHN<sub>SC</sub>

#### **SRF Does Not Exist**

- ▶ A SRF does not exist (i.e., no delayed taxation) when:
  - > Enforcement of forfeiture condition is unlikely,
  - > Transferor must pay transferee the FMV of forfeited property upon forfeiture,
  - > The only risk is that property value is subject to a risk of decrease in value,
  - > Property is subject solely to a nonlapse restriction,
  - > Property is subject solely to a transfer restriction, or
  - > Property is only forfeited on termination for "Cause"

GODFREY#KAHNs.

#### **Enforcement Is Unlikely**

- "Property is not transferred subject to a [SRF] if at the time of transfer the facts and circumstances demonstrate that the forfeiture condition is unlikely to be enforced." Treas. Reg. §1.83-3(c)(1).
- ▶ Practice Tip:
- ▶ A pattern of waiving vesting conditions is problematic because it tends to show a lack of enforceability at time of transfer.
- In addition, please note that regulations provide special rules for determining likelihood of enforcement when a transfer of property is made to an employee that owns a significant amount of the total combined voting or value of a corporation. See Treas. Reg. §1.83-3(c)(3

GODFREY#KAHNsc

#### Payment For Forfeited Property

"Further, property is not transferred subject to a [SRF] to the extent that the <u>employer is required to pay the fair market value</u> of a portion of such property to the employee <u>upon the return of such property</u>." Treas. Reg. §1.83-3(c)(1).



13

#### Nonlapse Restriction

- ► "A nonlapse restriction, standing by itself will not result in a [SRF]." Treas. Reg. §1.83-3(c)(1).
- ▶ Nonlapse Definition
  - ▷ "A restriction which by its terms will never lapse" and is "[a] permanent limitation on the transferability of property—(i) which will require the transferee to sell, or offer to sell, such property at a price determined by a formula, and (ii) which will continue to apply to and be enforced against the transferee or any subsequent holder (other than the transferor)"
    - ▶ Right of first refusal with a set price is a nonlapse restriction.

GODFREY#KAHNss

#### **Transfer Restrictions**

- Restrictions on transfer of property generally do not create a SRF.
  - ▷ One Limited Exception to Transfer Restriction Rule:
    - ►Where transfer could subject individual to liability under §16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934



15

#### Cause

- ▶ Risk of forfeiture upon termination for "Cause" does not create a SRF.
  - On the other hand, requirements that the property be returned to the employer <u>if the employee is discharged for cause or for committing a crime</u> will <u>not be</u> considered to result in <u>a substantial risk of forfeiture</u>." Treas. Reg. §1.83-3(c)(2).



#### Cause (cont'd)

- ▶ Beware of the Meaning of "Cause" (Austin v. Commissioner, 141 T.C. 551 (2013))
- ► Cause under Section 83 really refers to serious misconduct that is unlikely to occur.
- ► The parties can't hide something that would be a SRF in the definition of Cause and say an SRF was not established.



#### **SRF-Less Common Circumstances**

- ▶ A SRF may exist when forfeiture of transferred property is conditioned on:
  - > A requirement that an employee not compete after termination
    - "An enforceable requirement that the property be returned to the employer if the employee accepts a job with a competing firm will not ordinarily be considered to result in a [SRF] unless the particular facts and circumstances indicate to the contrary." Treas. Reg. §1.83-3(c)(2)
  - A retiring employee to continue to provide services to an employer through consulting
    - "Similarly, rights in property transferred to a retiring employee subject to the sole requirement that it be returned unless he renders consulting services upon the request of his former employer will not be considered subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture unless he is in fact expected to perform substantial services." Treas. Reg. §1.83-3(c)(2)

GODFREY#KAHNss

#### Section 83-Transfer Required

- ► Section 83 applies when there is a compensatory <u>transfer</u> of property.
  - There must be an actual transfer of property (i.e., employer issues an employee restricted stock and registers the shares as outstanding on company's books and records).
- ▶ Lack of a current transfer or a mere promise to transfer property in the future upon satisfaction of a condition takes us out of Section 83 and makes a Section 83(b) election inapplicable.

GODFREY#KAHNsi

Section 83-Transfer Required

Tip

▶ Beware of circumstances that threaten whether a transfer has occurred (e.g., guaranteed floor price or value cap, non-recourse loan to purchase property, etc.) GODFREY#KAHNsc

## Risk Alert #1: Stockholder / LLC Agreements

- ▶ Beware of stock restrictions housed in stockholder and LLC agreements.
- Such agreements can create an "unknowing" SRF.

  - ▶ Less Obvious- Repurchase at a formula value upon voluntary termination of employment.

GODFREY#KAHNs

21

## Risk Alert #1: Stockholder / LLC Agreements-Example

- ► Executive is granted stock in 2020 that cliff "vests" after three years of continued employment in 2023.
- ► Executive is required to be party to a Stockholder Agreement.
- ➤ Stockholder Agreement contains a provision that allows the Company to repurchase stock at a discount of 50% to FMV upon an employee's voluntary termination of employment prior to age 65.
- ▶ This repurchase right at a discount creates a SRF.

GODFREY#KAHN<sub>SS</sub>

2

#### Risk Alert #1: Stockholder / LLC Agreements-Example Cont'd.

- Assume Executive's FMV of stock in 2023 was \$1,000,000 and Executive is 55. Executive paid tax at an ordinary income rate in 2023 thinking the stock had vested (i.e., \$370,000).
- In 2026, the Company is sold and the value of the stock had grown by 25% (meaning stock is worth \$1,250,000). This is the true time of the lapse of the SRF with respect to the stock.
- The Executive expects capital gain treatment on the full growth above \$1,000,000, but ordinary income treatment is applicable under Section 83 with respect to the growth above \$1,000,000.
- ► There is a difference in tax of \$42,500 ([(\$250,000x37%) (\$250,000x20%)])
- ▶ Note: Possible solution would have been 83(b) election at the time of transfer.
- Note: Company withholding at time of sale.
- Note: Implications of tax timing and tax rate changes.

GODFREY#KAHNso

23

#### Risk Alert #2: Founders Stock

- ► Founders often subject their shares to repurchase rights if they leave the employment or service. Often, those repurchase rights are at a discount to FMV.
- ▶ If these restrictions are placed on the equity at the time the founder receives (i.e., at the time of "transfer") the shares (even if the founder purchases such shares at full FMV), a Section 83(b) election should be made to avoid future ordinary income on the shares. If the restrictions are added after the original issuance, Section 83 may not apply.

GODFREY#KAHNsc

## Risk Alert #2: Founders Stock – Example\*

- 2 Founders establish startup company
- Each pays par value of \$0.00001 per share (\$40 each for 4 million shares)
- Repurchase restrictions lapse / shares become vested over a period of 4 years (1M shares per year).
- In year 1, based on seed round investment, the shares are worth \$0.35 per share (\$350K for 1M shares). Taxable income to each founder is \$349,900 (\$350K - \$10).
- In year 2, based on additional Company success, the shares are \$1.30 per share (\$1.3 M for 1M shares). Taxable income to each founder is \$1,299,990 (\$1.3M \$10).
- And so on...
- If 83(b) election was made at the time of purchase, no additional taxable income would be recognized in Years 1, 2, etc.

\*Example from "Founder Traps", Michael J. Gorback, March 28, 2017

25



## Risk Alert #3: Rollover and Purchased Equity in M&A

- ► Consider 83(b) elections in M&A transactions when the management team is asked to rollover current equity interests or purchase new equity interests in target company post-closing.
- ▶ Both in post-tax investments and tax-free rollovers, there are situations where 83(b) elections are advisable if rolled or purchased equity is subject to a SRF, including repurchase restrictions.
- ▶ 83(b) election often involves no tax cost, as the management team has paid FMV for their new equity or has contributed property of equal value to receive the new equity.

GODFREY##KAHNsc

#### Risk Alert #3: Rollover and Purchased Equity in M&A

- ▶ Revenue Ruling 2007-49
  - ▷ Situation 1: Imposition of SRF on already owned stock (e.g., new) investor that requires condition for investment). [No Transfer]
  - ▷ Situation 2: Exchange of vested stock for "substantially nonvested" stock" in a rollover with respect to a Section 368(a) tax-free reorganization. [Transfer; exchanged basis; treated as paying FMV for Section 83(b) election
  - ▷ Situation 3: Exchange of vested stock for "substantially nonvested" stock" in a rollover with respect to a taxable transaction [Transfer; FMV basis; treated as paying FMV for Section 83(b) election]
  - Does Not address unvested for unvested. [IRC Section 83(g) facts and unsettled "second bite at the apple"]
  - > The revenue ruling does not address holding period or continuity of interest issues.

GODFREY#KAHNs

#### Risk Alert #3: Rollover and Purchased Equity in M&A -Example

- M&A transaction management team receives large payout of incentive equity
- Management is asked to roll over \$5 million of their after-tax proceeds from the sale and invest that amount into equity in the target company, with such equity to participate on terms similar to equity held by the purchaser.
- However, in the event of a voluntary termination of employment within 2 years of closing, target company has a repurchase right to buy back the management employee's stock at the lesser of FMV or the price paid by the employee.
- The management team should make 83(b) elections at the time of investment to avoid paying future ordinary income on any appreciation in the stock between closing and the 2-year anniversary when the repurchase restrictions lapse.
- The management team would file an 83(b) election at closing, collectively reporting that \$5 million of equity was received in exchange for a purchase price of \$5 million, thus \$0 taxable income.

GODFREY#KAHNs.



#### Thank you!

**Kieran Coe** 

kcoe@gklaw.com

**Tim Smith** 

tcsmith@gklaw.com

This presentation is intended to provide information on legal issues and should not be construed as legal advice. In addition, attendance at a Godfrey & Kahn, S.C. presentation does not create an attorney-client relationship. Please consult the speaker if you have any questions concerning the information discussed during this presentation.

4:10 – 5 p.m.

## Hot Tax & IRS Practice & Procedure Issues

Michael Goller, JD, Shareholder, Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c.

# HOT TAX AND IRS ETHICS PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE ISSUES 2023

Michael G. Goller, J.D.
Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c.
1000 North Water Street, Suite 1700
Milwaukee, WI 53202
414-298-8336
mgoller@reinhartlaw.com

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



Michael G. Goller is a shareholder in Reinhart's Tax, Litigation and Business practices. He focuses on tax controversy and tax litigation, as well as tax and estate planning. His clients range from large public corporations to midsized, privately held businesses and their owners. Michael works on behalf of his clients in disputes with the IRS, the Department of Justice and various other taxing authorities.



© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller Reinhart

#### **Table of Contents**

- Part I Hot Practice and Procedure Issues
- Part II Hot Ethics Issues
- Part III Hot High Net Work and Family Office Issues
- Part IV Hot Employment Tax Issues

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



## PART I Hot Practice and Procedure Issues

- The IRS is going to receive a lot of money. They are starting to spend it.
- What are the hot audit issues?

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller Reinhart
Boerner Van Deuren s.c. Alborreys at Law

#### Hot Issues - Which Will Get Hotter

- Partnership Audits
- High Net Worth Audits
- Private Airplane Cases
- Estate and Gift Valuation Issues
- Net Operating Loss and Basis Issues
- Passive Losses and the Real Estate Professional

- Cost Segregation Issues
- Section 183 "Hobby" Loss Cases
- Refund Claim Traps
- Employment Tax Audits
- Penalty Issues

Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### **PARTNERSHIP AUDITS**

New Partnership Audit Program and related high net worth audit program are HOT in FY 2022, and beyond.

Source: Tax Notes (9/20/21)

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### **Overview**

The BBA, among other things, eliminates the so-called TEFRA Unified Partnership Audit Procedures<sup>1</sup> and the Audit Procedures for Electing Large Partnerships.<sup>2</sup> It also creates a more streamlined partnership audit approach, thus making it easier for the IRS to audit a partnership.

- 1 These were first created in the Tax Equity and Responsibility Act of 1982.
- 2 Created as part of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997.

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller




#### **Neutral Revenue Raiser**

- The BBA was promoted as a "neutral" revenue raiser (i.e., a revenue raiser in disguise); in that an increase in partnership audits will raise revenue without increasing taxes.
- It is expected that the new audit procedures and increased audits will yield \$9.3 billion of additional revenue over ten years. As such, the law gained quick approval in Congress.

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735







#### **Current Status**

- · These audits seem to be off to a slow start
- IRS is asking for lengthy statute extensions

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



#### **Auditing Net Operating Losses**

- What are the rules of the road
- Many traps the statute of limitations is an issue

Comment: The five year net operating loss carry back has made this very relevant

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller Reinhart

#### **Statute of Limitations Issues**

- A statute of limitations is a law that specifies the amount of time within which an act must be performed to be legally binding.
- Normally, the IRS must make any assessment of additional tax within three years of the time a return is filed.
- Assessment is nothing more than a bookkeeping entry made on the records of the Internal Revenue Service. Specifically, section 6203 provides that an "assessment shall be made by recording the liability of the taxpayer in the Office of the Secretary [of the Treasury] . . . . "
- A determination as to when the IRS made an assessment can be made by reviewing an IRS transcript of account.

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### Statute of Limitations Issues (cont.)

There are a few significant intricacies about the statute of limitations on assessment

- A. A return filed prior to the due date is treated as filed on the due date
- B. If the return is filed after the due date, then the actual date of filing is used
- C. A return required to be filed return is deemed filed when it is postmarked, if the return is timely filed
- D. If the return is not filed when due, then the filing date, for limitations purposes, is the date it is actually received by the IRS. When a return is filed with the wrong Service Center, the statute does not begin to run until the redirected return is received by the correct Service Center

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



#### Statute of Limitations Issues (cont.)

- E. When calculating the 3-year period, the date that the return is actually filed is excluded
- F. When the due date falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the return is considered timely filed if it is filed on the next business day. In such an instance, the statute of limitations begins to run on the actual date of filing.
- G. The statute of limitations on assessing estate tax cannot be extended. See  $\S 6501(c)(4)(A)$


© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



## Exception to Three-Year Rule for Items Carried Forward or Back

- A. A deficiency attributable to the carry-forward of a net operating loss, capital loss, or unused tax credit may be assessed within 3 years of the date of filing the return for the year the loss or credit is used, even though such date may be well beyond the normal statute of limitations for the year to which the loss or credit originally arose
- B. The statute of limitations on a carryback runs from the year of the loss, not the year in which the benefit of the loss is put to use


Reinhart
Boerner Van Deuren s.c. Attorneys at Law




#### **IRS Attach on Cost Segregation Studies**

- Publication 5653 (Rev. 6-2022) "Cost Segregation and Audit Technique Guide"
- Revisions were not large but whenever the IRS revises an audit manual, there will be audits

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller Reinhart





# WDOR and I.R.C. Section 183

#### Basis Issues, Passive Loss and At-Risk Rules -Lessons from the Trenches and Planning to Avoid IRS Attacks

- A taxpayer's ability to deduct a loss may be subject to three sets of limitations:
  - Basis
  - At-Risk
  - Passive
- Partnership allocation rules could also be considered a fourth limitation that impacts the ability of a taxpayer to deduct a loss

Reinhart
Boerner Van Deuren s.c. Attorneys at Law

Reinhart

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735

#### **At-Risk Rules**

- Applies to:
  - Individuals (including partners, LLC members and S corporation shareholders). I.R.C. § 465(a)(1)(A).
  - C Corporations, if at any time during the last half of the taxable year more than 50% of the value of the corporation's outstanding stock is owned by five or fewer individuals. I.R.C. § 465(a)(1)(B).
- Generally, for purposes of the at-risk rules, each activity conducted by a taxpayer is treated as separate. I.R.C. § 465(c)(2)(A). May aggregate in certain cases.

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



#### At-Risk Rules (cont.)

- What is "at-risk"
  - Cash contributed
  - Amounts borrowed with respect to the activity, to the extent the taxpayer:
    - Is personally liable for repayment of such amounts. I.R.C. § 465(b)(2)(A).
      - There are two circumstances in which a partner is considered personally liable for debt:
        - » Partner borrows funds on a recourse basis and contributes those funds to the partnership for use in activity. Prop. Reg. § 1.465-7(a).
        - » Partnership incurs liability for which, under state law, partners may be held personally liable for repayment of the liability. Prop. Reg. § 1.465-24(a)(2)(i).

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### At-Risk Rules (cont.)

- Has pledged property (other than property used in the activity) as security for the borrowed amount. I.R.C. § 465(b)(2)(B).
  - Taxpayer is considered at risk only to the extent of the net fair market value of the pledged property securing the debt.
  - Property is not considered pledged property for purposes of this rule if the property is financed directly or indirectly by debt secured by the contributed property.
- A taxpayer is <u>not</u> considered at risk with respect to amounts protected against loss through nonrecourse financing, guarantees, stop-loss agreements or other similar arrangements. I.R.C. § 465(b)(4).
- Qualified nonrecourse financing is a big exception.

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### At-Risk Rules - Key Audit Issues

- A taxpayer is <u>not</u> considered at risk for amounts borrowed from any person who has an interest in the activity <u>or</u> from a person related to a person who has such an interest. I.R.C. § 465(b)(3)(A). Excludes direct borrowing by a partner. Other exceptions apply.
- Guarantees and Contribution Obligations
  - A guarantee does not increase a taxpayer's amount at risk unless the taxpayer has no right of reimbursement from the primary obligor of the liability. Prop. Reg. § 1.465-6(d).
  - An agreement that requires a taxpayer to make future contributions to capital does not increase the taxpayer's amount at risk until the contribution is actually made. Prop. Reg. § 1.465-22(a).
  - It is unclear whether a deficit restoration obligation ("DRO") increases a taxpayer's amount at risk.

Reinhart

Boerner Van Deuren s.c. Attorneys at Law

#### Passive Losses and the Real Estate Professional

- Section 469
- Rental Real Estate
- Real Estate Professional
- Proving Material Participation
- · Make a Grouping Election watch limited partnership trap

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### **Passive Loss Rules**

- Limit a taxpayer from deducting losses and excess credits from a passive activity against income from nonpassive activities. I.R.C. § 469(a).
- A passive activity is one that:
  - Involves the conduct of a trade or business in which the taxpayer does not materially participate. I.R.C. § 469(c)(1).
  - Is a rental activity. I.R.C. § 469(c)(2).

Reinhart
Boerner Van Deuren s.c. Attorneys at Law

#### Passive Loss Rules (cont.)

- Establishing Material Participation.
  - A taxpayer materially participates in an activity if, and only if, the taxpayer meets one of the following seven tests:
    - Work done in a taxpayer's capacity as an investor does not count toward the 500 Hour test, unless the taxpayer is directly involved in the day-to-day management or operations of the activity. Treas. Reg. § 1.469-5T(f)(2)(ii).

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



#### Passive Loss Rules (cont.)

 Investor activities include studying and reviewing financial statements or reports on an activity, preparing studies or analyses of the activity's finances or operations for the taxpayer's own use, and monitoring the activity's finances or operations in a nonmanagerial capacity

Michael G. Goller 50488735



- Facts and circumstances. Treas. Reg. § 1.469-5T(a)(7).
  - Taxpayer can establish material participation by regular, continuous and substantial involvement in an activity based on all the facts and circumstances
  - Must participate in activity for more than 100 hours. Treas. Reg. § 1.469-5T(b)(2)(iii).

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



#### Passive Loss Rules (cont.)

- Caution! Services performed in the management of an activity are disregarded unless:
  - » No other individual is compensated for performing management services in connection with such activity; and
  - » No other individual performs management services that exceed the hours spent by the taxpayer. Treas. Reg. § 1.469-5T(b)(2)(ii).

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller

- A taxpayer must establish hours of participation under the seven tests.
  - Any reasonable means of proof is sufficient to establish hours of participation. Treas.
     Reg. § 1.469-5T(f)(4).
  - Courts and the IRS are skeptical when a taxpayer makes extravagant claims on the number of hours of participation.
  - Courts generally do not accept "post-event ballpark guesstimate" of hours unless supported by credible testimony and other objective evidence
  - · Taxpayers are recommended to keep careful records of participation
  - Practice Tip
    - Use an affidavit
    - A client interview

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### Passive Loss Rules (cont.)

- Special Rules for Real Estate Rental Activities.
  - Taxpayers who qualify as "real estate operators" may treat their real estate rental activities as nonpassive upon a showing of material participation. I.R.C. § 469(c)(7).
  - To qualify as a "real estate operator":
    - · For CHCs:
      - More than 50% of the corporation's gross receipts for the year must be derived from real property trades or businesses in which the corporation materially participates. I.R.C. § 469(c)(7)(D)(i).

- For individuals:
  - The taxpayer must satisfy the two following requirements:
    - » More than one half of all personal services performed in trades or businesses must be performed in the real property trades or businesses; and
    - » More than 750 hours of services must be performed in real property trades or businesses in which the taxpayer materially participates. I.R.C. § 469(c)(7)(B).

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



#### Passive Loss Rules (cont.)

- Personal services include any work performed by the individual in the connection with a trade or business, except for:
  - » Worked performed by an individual in the individual's capacity as an investor. Treas. Reg. § 1.469-9(d)(4).



- » Services performed as an employee, unless the employee is a 5% owner of the employer. I.R.C. § 469(c)(7)(D)(ii).
  - » Practice Tip: When looking to purchase Real Estate (e.g., Apartment Buildings), document that the activity is not investment activity
- Services of the taxpayer's spouse cannot be combined to satisfy either of the two requirements. Treas. Reg. § 1.469-9(c)(4).

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller Reinhart

#### Passive Loss Rules (cont.)

• Caution! Rental activities held through a passthrough entity constitute a single interest if the entity grouped its real estate as a single activity. Treas. Reg. § 1.469-9(h). However, if a taxpayer owns directly or indirectly a 50% or greater interest in the passthrough entity, each interest in rental real estate is treated as a separate interest unless the taxpayer makes his or her own election to treat all interests in real estate as a single activity.



#### **Airplane Cases**

- Partnership or corporate structure
  - Personal Entertainment v. Non-Personal Entertainment
- SIFL or \$274-10(e)
- Schedule C Structure CCA 202117012 (4/30/21)
- Depreciation and the Section 280F trap
- Entertainment Facility trap

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



#### Examination of Returns— General

- Introduction
  - The possible audit of a taxpayer's return encourages voluntary compliance
  - The chances of examination vary depending on geographical location, type of return, and Adjusted Gross Income



#### Examination of Returns— General (cont.)

- IRS Field Audits
  - The field examination is used for most business returns and larger, more complex individual returns
    - Section 7602 of the Internal Revenue Code authorizes the Treasury Department to examine any books, papers, records, or other data that may be relevant or material to ascertaining the correctness of any return

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735



## Examination of Returns—General (cont.)

- · Other Types of Audits
  - Correspondence audit
  - Office examinations
  - Employment tax audits
- We have seen an increase in audits of Exempt Organizations. A key issue is control of the organization.



#### Handling the Audit

- · Examination of Tax Returns—Practical Strategies
  - Control the flow of information
  - Do the agent's job for him or her
  - Where the audit occurs
  - Do not give the IRS free access to the taxpayer's employees
  - How deep will the revenue agent dig?

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



#### Handling the Audit (cont.)

- Responding to Requests for IRS Information
- Tell Your Story
- Burden of Proof Issues
- Privilege Issues

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller

#### Handling the Audit (cont.)

- The Concept of Assessment
  - What is assessment
  - Civil statute of limitations on assessment
  - Assessment as a civil concept
  - Generally, before assessment can occur, the normal deficiency procedures must be followed
- Summary of Important Terms
  - Thirty-Day Letter
  - Protest
  - Ninety-Day Letter

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



#### IRS Acknowledgement IDR--Happens at the end of the audit

- IRS issues to most larger taxpayers an IDR that attempts to box the taxpayer into certain facts
- How to respond to the IDR?
- Why noncompliance is not an option:
  - Burden of proof issues
  - Rule of evidence issues (must make info available to opponent)
  - Penalty issues--arguing reasonable cause
  - IRS appeals uses a nonresponse as a basis for not appealing
  - Qualified Offer issues

#### **Cooperation Issues**

- Consider if you are eligible to switch the burden of proof to the IRS
  - Cooperation is important
  - Adequate records are important
- Noncooperation leads to admissions (e.g., statements or inferences that are later used against you)

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



#### Acknowledgement IDR

Use the acknowledgement IDR to support your case

8 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller

#### **Getting to Appeals**

- 30 day letter
- Protest Skinny or fat pros and cons
- Do an FOIA request
- Exam's "T-letter"

19 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller

#### Reinhart Roomer Van Deuren s.c. Alberton at Land

#### **Settling the Case at Appeals**

- Hazards of litigation
- Does the IRS have uniform settlement guidelines?
- What to do if you hit a "brick wall"?

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller

#### Which Court to go to

- U.S. Tax Court
- Federal District Court (refund)
- U.S. Court of Federal Claims (refund)
- Issues to consider
  - Precedent
  - Discovery issues and cost
- IRS v. DOJ

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller




#### **IRS Attorneys Requests for Information**

- · IRS attorneys have a set of standard questions
- Need to be able to address these questions in a cost-effective manner
- Trap the failure to respond can lead to formal discovery or deemed admissions

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller





## Reasonable Cause and Penalty Avoidance

#### The Section 6662 Penalty


- · Negligence or Disregard of Rules or Regulations
- Substantial Understatement of Income Tax
- Substantial Valuation Misstatement under Chapter 1 of the Code
- Substantial Overstatement of Pension Liabilities
- Substantial Estate or Gift Tax Valuation Understatement
- Disallowance of Tax Benefits Because a Transaction Lacks Economic Substance or any Similar Rule or Law
- Any Undisclosed Foreign Financial Asset Understatement

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### Section 6662 Penalty (20% or 40%)

- Generally, penalty is 20% and applies to any portion of an underpayment attributable to one or more violations
- In certain instances, penalty can rise as high as 40%



#### What is an Understatement?

 Excess of the amount required to be shown on the return over the amount of tax shown on the return. Section 6662(d)(2)(A)

Michael G. Goller 50488735

#### Tax Return MUST Have Been Filed

Both the Accuracy-Related Penalty and the Fraud Penalty only apply where a return has been filed

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



#### Qualified Amended Return Can Reduce the Amount of an Underpayment

Amount of tax shown on a tax return includes the amount shown as additional tax on a "qualified amended" return



© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller

## 

#### Negligence

- Only applies to that portion attributable to negligence, not the entire underpayment
- Negligence is defined as "any failure to make a reasonable attempt to comply with the provisions of [the Code]"

<u>Comment</u>: One way to settle a case is to apply the penalty to only a small part of a deficiency

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller

#### Disregard of Rules or Regulations

- Any "careless, reckless, or intentional disregard"
  - Section 6662(c)



© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



THE ACCURACY RELATED PENALTY BASED UPON A SUBSTANTIAL UNDERSTATEMENT OF INCOME TAX. . .

4 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller

#### What is a Substantial Understatement of Income Tax?

- Noncorporate taxpayer
  - Exceeds the greater of 10% of the tax required to be shown on the return or \$5,000
- Corporation other than S Corporation or Personal Holding Company
  - Exceeds the <u>lesser</u> of one of the following:
    - 10% of the tax required to be shown on the return (or if greater, \$10,000); or
    - \$10 million

Section 6662(d)(1)

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



#### Reasonable Basis

- Under Treasury Reg. § 1.6662-3(b)(3), negligence is negated if there is a reasonable basis for the return position
  - Determining what is Reasonable Basis
    - "A relatively high standard of tax reporting, that is significantly higher than not frivolous or not patently improper. The reasonable basis standard is not satisfied by a return position that is merely arguable or that is merely a colorable claim."
  - What authorities can be cited to prove Reasonable Basis?

#### **Reasonable Cause**

- Defined as Ordinary Business Care and Prudence
- Section 6662 Penalty can be avoided by showing reasonable cause (in most-cases)
- Proof of Reasonable Cause, when arguing reliance on a professional 3 part test
  - Advisor was competent and had significant expertise to justify reliance
  - Taxpayer gave advisor adequate and necessary information
  - Taxpayer relied in good faith upon the advisor

7 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### **Disclosure**

- Penalty may often be avoided by a complete and adequate disclosure of the item or position at issue and there is:
  - Reasonable basis for position
  - Taxpayer keeps adequate books and records

<u>Comment</u>: Disclosure will not avoid the accuracy related penalty based on negligence.



#### **Substantial Authority**

- <u>See</u> Treas. Regs. § 1.6662-4(d).
  - A Confidence Level of perhaps 40%?

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



#### Understatement Related to a Tax Shelter

- If related to a tax shelter, adequate disclosure of the item will not relieve the taxpayer from the penalty
  - Must meet elevated substantial authority test
  - Must show Substantial Authority and a reasonable belief that the treatment was MLTN correct tax
    - A tax shelter exists if a significant purpose of an entity, plan or arrangement is the avoidance of income tax



#### Raising Reasonable Cause Can Waive a Privilege

#### **CAUTION:**

Raising the assertion of reliance on professional advice constitutes reasonable cause probably waives the attorney/client privilege and the Section 7525 privilege.

71 © 2022 All Rights Reserve



## Raising Reasonable Cause Can Waive a Privilege (cont.)

IRM 20.1.5.6.4 (January 24, 2013)
 (If the taxpayer claims a tax memorandum or advice is privileged, the IRS will <u>not</u> abate the penalty)

Comment: Would the IRS assert a penalty to force a privilege waiver?

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller

#### Penalty Avoidance Matrix

	Reasonable <u>Basis</u> \$1.6662- 3(b)(3)	Reasonable Cause 56664 \$1.6664-4(b)	Disclosure \$6662(d)(2)(B) \$1.6662-3(a)	Substantial Authority \$1.6662-4(d)
Negligence	Yes	Yes	No <sup>1</sup>	Yes <sup>2</sup>
Disregard of the Rules or Regulations	Yes <sup>4</sup>	Yes	Yes <sup>3</sup>	Yes <sup>5</sup>
Substantial Understatement of Income Tax	No	Yes	Yes <sup>6</sup>	Yes
Substantial Valuation Misstatement (Income)	No	Yes <sup>7</sup>	No	No
Substantial Valuation Misstatement (E&G)	No	Yes	No	No
Gross Valuation Misstatement (Income)	No	No <sup>7</sup>	No	No
Gross Valuation Misstatement (E&G)	No	Yes	No	No

<sup>1.51.6662-7(</sup>b)

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



## Income Tax Overstatement of Value e.g., a Charitable Contribution

- Substantial Valuation Misstatement
  - There is a 20% penalty applicable to any underpayment attributable to a Substantial Valuation Misstatement
    - Section 6662(b)(3)
  - A substantial valuation misstatement occurs if the value (or adjusted basis) of any property claimed on a return claimed income is 150% or more of the correct amount
    - Section 6662(e)
  - The penalty is not imposed unless the misstatement results in an underpayment of greater than \$5,000 (\$10,000 for a C-corporation)
    - Section 6662(b)(2)

<sup>2.</sup> There must also be a reasonable basis for the position, adequate records must be kept and the position must be properly substantiated, \$1,6662-3(c). In a case where there is substantial authority for a position, since this standard is higher than the reasonable basis standard (which penales pedicence), there is no reclinence, \$1,6662-3(c).

<sup>3.</sup> There must be reasonable basis and the taxpayer must keep adequate books, records and substantiation. 3 1,000c-3(p(1).
4. The disregard can be careless, reckless or intentional. 5 1,0802-3(b(2)). The first two (careless and reckless) are for all practical purposes, the same as negligence, meaning reasonable basis would nepate these two trioners. Further, however if a position is intentionally contrary to a rule or regulation, reasonable basis would not be.

enough. However, see the disclosure election.

5. A position that is contrary to a Revenue Ruling or Notice is not treated as disregarding the ruling or notice if the contrary position has a realistic possibility of being sustain (a standard that is lower than substantial authority 5 1 8623-361/2). It is technically cossibile to have substantial authority that is contrary to a Treasury Regulation, which

means the penalty could apply. In this case the taxpayer should be sure to make a disclosure,

6. There must also be a reasonable basis for the tax treatment of the disclosed item, \$6882(d)(2)(B)(ii).

<sup>7.</sup> There is no reasonable cause exception for a gross valuation misstatement with respect to charitable deduction property and the exception only applies to a substantial valuation affects and the temperature of the property of the proper

#### **Gross Valuation Misstatement**

- There is a 40% penalty in the case of a Gross Valuation Misstatement.
- A Gross Valuation Misstatement occurs if the value of the property is 200% or more of the correct value section.
- The standard is automatically met if the correct value is zero.
  - Treas. Reg. Section 1.6662-5(g).
- Example
  - Donor claims a deduction under Section 170 for the donation of property to a qualified charity

5 © 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



### Reasonable Cause and the Income Tax Valuation Penalties

- A reasonable cause exception under Section 6664 can apply when the underpayment is attributable to a substantial (but not a Gross) understatement with regard to a charitable contribution if the following occurs:
  - The claimed value of the property is based upon a qualified appraisal by a qualified appraiser;
  - The taxpayer also made a good faith investigation of the value of the contributed property; and
  - The taxpayer acted with reasonable cause and in good faith
    - Section 6664(c)(3)

<u>Comment</u>: Review the definitions of a qualified appraiser and appraisal in Treas. Reg. Section 1.170A-13(c)(3) and (5)



# Penalty for Erroneous Refund Claims — Section 6676

77 © 2022 All Rights Reserve



#### Penalty for Erroneous Refund Claims (Section 6676)

- Penalty equal to 20% of the <u>excessive amount</u> claimed unless:
  - It is shown that there is reasonable cause for the claim for the excessive amount.
  - Assume reasonable cause is the same as under Section 6664.

Comment: Reasonable cause is a defense.

78 © 2022 All Rights Reserve





## Ethical Obligation to Talk About Penalty Avoidance

 Under IRS Circular 230, practitioners must advise the client of any penalties that are reasonably likely to apply and the practitioner must discuss the possibility of penalty avoidance via disclosure. §10.34(c)

80 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller

#### The Office of Professional Responsibility

- There is often a tendency to assume that the Department of the Treasury's Circular No. 230 (Circular 230) pertains solely to preparing tax returns, tax opinions or dealings with the IRS
- The conventional wisdom is that a violation Of Circular 230 must mean a practitioner has engaged in some sort of outrageous behavior
- The reach of this ethical code is far greater than one might think
- A violation can (and does) occur in many more situations than practitioners might otherwise expect

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735



## A Violation of Circular 230 Is a Serious Matter

- Public discipline for violating Circular 230 usually involves obvious misconduct such as one's own failure to file or pay tax, or the conviction of a criminal offense
- We have been seeing more cases that pertain to alleged "bad tax practice," such as a lack of due diligence, failure to give sound tax advice, conflicts of interest or other issues that indicate a tax practitioner's lack of fitness to practice before the IRS

## Who Is Subject to Circular 230? Section 10.3 (Revised June 9, 2014)

- Circular 230 applies to those who "practice before the IRS"
- "Practice before the IRS" comprehends all matters connected with a practitioner's presentation to the IRS with respect to a taxpayer's rights, privileges or liabilities under the tax law, including
  - Preparing or filing documents, correspondence and communicating with the IRS
  - Rendering written advice with respect to an entity plan or arrangement that has a potential for tax avoidance or evasion
  - Representing a client at IRS conferences and hearings

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### Who Is Subject to Circular 230? Section 10.3 (Revised June 9, 2014)

- Attorneys and CPAs (including in-house practitioners) who are not under suspension or disbarment from practice before the IRS may file a Power of Attorney (POA) (Form 2848)
  - This permits them to and practice before the IRS and makes them subject to Circular 230
- One need not file a POA to provide written tax advice, however, providing written tax advice constitutes practice before the IRS
  - *i.e.*, makes the individual subject to Circular 230

#### When Is Conduct Sanctionable?

- Generally, a practitioner may be sanctioned if the practitioner:
  - Is incompetent or disreputable;
  - Intentionally misleads a client so as to defraud that client; or
  - Is acting with a specific mental state or competency standard (i.e., willful, reckless or gross incompetence), fails to comply with key provisions of Circular 230

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### **AICPA Rules**

Statements on Standards for Tax Services

Statement on Standards for Tax Services No. 1, *Tax Return Positions* 

- Interpretation No. 1-1, Reporting and Disclosures
- Interpretation No. 1-2, Tax Planning

Statement on Standards for Tax Services No. 2, *Answers to Questions on Returns* 

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735

#### **AICPA Rules**

Statement on Standards for Tax Services No. 3, Certain Procedural Aspects of Preparing Returns

Statement on Standards for Tax Services No. 4, *Use of Estimates* 

Statement on Standards for Tax Services No. 5, Departure from a Position Previously Concluded in an Administrative Proceeding or Court Decision

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



#### **AICPA Rules**

Statement on Standards for Tax Services No. 6, Knowledge of Error: Return Preparation and Administrative Proceedings

Statement on Standards for Tax Services No. 7, Form and Content of Advice to Taxpayers

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735

#### **ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct**

- Some Version of the MRPC has been adopted in almost all states.
- Rules are mandatory.
- Comments to the rules are aspirational (not mandatory).

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735



## How Aggressive Can (Should) The Practitioner Be?

- Standards With Respect to Tax Returns and Other Documents §10.34
  - The practitioner must inform a client to of any penalties that are reasonably likely to apply with respect to:
    - A return position the practitioner provided advice on;
    - · A return the practitioner prepared or signed; and
    - · Documents submitted to the IRS.
  - A practitioner may not advise a client to take <u>a frivolous</u> position in a document, affidavit or other paper submitted to the IRS
  - Further, a practitioner cannot advise a client to make a submission to the IRS <u>if the</u> <u>submission is frivolous</u>, <u>intended to cause delay</u>, <u>or intentionally disregards IRS rules or</u> <u>regulations</u>

<u>Caution</u>: An offer in compromise or a Collection Due Process appeal cannot be filed solely to delay collection activity



## How Aggressive Can (Should) The Practitioner Be?

- The practitioner must also inform the client of the opportunity to avoid such penalties by disclosure and the requirements of adequate disclosure
- It is critical that the practitioner understand how Section 6662 works
- Do a memorandum to the file

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### **AICPA Rule**

AICPA Statement on Standards for Tax Services No. 1, <u>Tax Return</u> Positions, Section 6.

When recommending a tax return position or when preparing or signing a tax return on which a position is taken . . . [a CPA] should, when

relevant, advise the taxpayer regarding potential penalty consequences of such tax return position and the opportunity, if any, to avoid such penalties through disclosure.



Circular 230 Conflicts of Interest Section 10.29

 A major cause of malpractice claims, especially for Estate Planners



Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c. Attorneys at Law

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller

#### A Conflict of Interest Exists If

- The representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or,
- There is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the practitioner's responsibilities to another client, a former client or third person, or by the personal interest of the practitioner.


Comment: Rule is very similar to Model Rule 1.7

#### **Other Authority**

ACTEC Commentary to Model Rule 1.7

Reinhart

Michael G. Goller 50488735



#### Obtain a Waiver

• Where a conflict exists, a practitioner may still handle the matter if the practitioner reasonably believes that he/she will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client, the representation will not otherwise violate the law and each affected client waives the conflict in an informed consent at the time the conflict is discovered by the practitioner.

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



#### Traps for the Unwary

- Representing spouses
- Personal interest of the lawyers
  - penalty issues
  - lawyer as a fiduciary
- · Lawyer paid by a third party
- Innocent spouse relief issues

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735

#### Tax Court Rule 24(g)

- The Rule goes beyond the normal conflict definition and states that if Counsel of Record was involved in planning or promoting a transaction at issue before the Court, that attorney must either obtain a consent or withdraw from the case.
  - This is a trap for the unwary.

<u>Comment</u>: More cases are becoming docketed in Tax Court due to the IRS insistence that a year or more remain on the assessment statute. The estate tax statute on assessment cannot be extended. Thus, the application of Rule 24(g) will come up more often in estate tax cases.

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735



## Why are more and more estate planners finding it necessary to docket a case in Tax Court?

- Two reasons
  - IRS budget cuts
  - Section 6501(c)(4)(A) provides that the statute of limitations on assessment can be extended with regard to "any tax imposed by this title, except the estate tax . . ." (emphasis added)
  - Practical Comments



#### Additional Trap for the Unwary

- Tax Court Petition is due before an executor is appointed (presumably an income tax issue that pertains to a pre-death year).
- Petition is filed in the name of Joe Smith, Deceased.
- Under Rule 60, must ratify Petition or the case may be dismissed.
- Dismissal of your Tax Court Petition means the IRS assessment stands.

<u>Comment</u>: If the estate has multiple beneficiaries, does the estate and a surviving spouse have a conflict?

101 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



#### More Conflict Traps for the Unwary

#### The Practitioner's Own Interest

- A common conflict, which is often overlooked, is the situation where a practitioner prepares a tax return, either as a signing or nonsigning preparer, and then handles the subsequent tax audit or appeal.
- In this situation, there may be a conflict if the practitioner has a personal interest that conflicts with the client's interest.
- For example, if the IRS asserts an accuracy-related penalty, will the practitioner be hesitant to argue that the penalty should not apply because of the taxpayer's goodfaith reliance on the practitioner's tax advice?
- · What if the practitioner has a conflict because of an unreasonable fee?

<u>Comment</u>: The estate and gift tax valuation penalties are mathematical triggers. Thus, if value is too low the trigger (and thus a possible conflict) could arise without much warning.



### More Conflict Traps for the Unwary (cont.)

### **Representing Both Spouses**

- Another common conflict exists when the practitioner represents both a husband and wife, and the two spouses' interests become adverse.
- In such a situation, the practitioner may be unable to represent either spouse.
- Example clients divorce and there is a pending Tax Court case.
   Does one spouse have a claim for relief under Section 6015 (i.e., innocent spouse and similar relief)?


103 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



Reinhart

### Need both a PTIN and a Data Security Plan





### Firm Management Procedures to Ensure Circular 230 Compliance Section 10.36 (Revised June 10, 2014)

- The IRS appears to be attempting to create a "culture of compliance"
- Practitioners in a position of authority must do more than ensure their own compliance with Circular 230
- Supervising practitioners must ensure that all individuals they supervise comply with Circular 230 as it pertains to the preparation of returns, claims for refund or other documents submitted to the IRS



### Section 10.36

- A practitioner responsible for implementation of Circular 230 compliance procedures will be subject to disciplinary action if:
  - 1(a) The responsible practitioner, through willfulness, recklessness or gross incompetence, does not take reasonable steps to ensure that the firm has adequate procedures to comply with Circular 230; and
  - 1(b) One or more individuals who are members of, associated with, or employed by the firm are, or have engaged in a practice in connection with their practice with the firm of failing to comply with Circular 230;

07 © 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



### Section 10.36

- 2(a) The responsible practitioner, through willfulness, recklessness or gross incompetence, does not take reasonable steps to ensure that firm procedures in effect are properly followed; and
- 2(b) One or more individuals who are members of, associate with, or are employed by the firm or have engaged in a pattern or practice, in connection with their practice with the firm of failing to comply with Circular 230; or



### Section 10.36


- 3(a) The responsible practitioner knows or should know that one or more individuals who are a member of, associated with, or employed by the firm are, or have engaged in a pattern or practice in connection with their practice with the firm that does not comply with Circular 230, as applicable; and
- 3(b) The responsible practitioner, through willfulness, recklessness or gross incompetence, fails to take prompt action to correct the noncompliance.

9 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



# Written Tax Advice Form Section 10.35 and Section 10.37(a) Revised June 9, 2012

- The Covered Opinion Rules (Former §10.35)
  - These have gone away Proposed regulations were issued on September 14, 2012
  - Final regulations were issued June 9, 2014 and became effective on June 12, 2014





### **Old Rules**

- Certain burdensome requirements existed if one issued one of the following:
  - A listed transaction opinion;
  - Principal purpose opinion is tax avoidance; or
  - Significant purpose to avoid tax opinion PLUS the opinion is one of the following opinions
    - · Reliance Opinion
    - · Marketed Opinion
    - Opinion subject to conditions of confidentiality
    - Opinion subject to contractual protection

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller Reinhart

# Ramifications of the Withdrawal of the Covered Opinion Rules

- No more legends on our e-mails
- Issuing a tax opinion may be more complex than before
- It is clear under the new rules that government submissions on matters of general policy and continuing education presentations are not considered written tax advice

Reinhart
Boerner Van Deuren s.c. Attorneys at Law

### Requirements for Written Tax Advice Section 10.37(a) (Revised June 9, 2014)

- The Practitioner must
  - Base written advice on reasonable factual and legal assumption
    - · Including assumptions as to future events
  - Reasonably consider all relevant facts and circumstances the practitioner knows or reasonably should know
  - Use reasonable efforts to identify and ascertain the facts relevant to written advice on each federal tax matter

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735



### Requirements for Written Tax Advice Section 10.37(a) (Revised June 9, 2014)

- Not rely upon representations, statements, findings or agreements (including projections, financial forecasts, or appraisals) of the taxpayer or any other person if reliance upon them would be unreasonable
- Relate applicable law and authorities to the facts; and not, in evaluating a
  federal tax matter, take into account the possibility that a tax return will
  not be audited or that a matter will not be raised on audit

Further, reliance upon a representation, statement, finding or agreement is specifically unreasonable if the practitioner knows or reasonably should know that one or more representations or assumptions on which any representation is based is incorrect, incomplete or inconsistent

Reinhart
Boerner Van Deuren s.c. Attorneys at Law

### Reliance on Others Section 10.37(b) Revised June 9, 2014

- The practitioner may only rely on the advice of another person if the advice was reasonable and the reliance is in good faith considering all the facts and circumstances
- · Reliance is specifically not reasonable when
  - The practitioner knows or reasonably should know that the opinion of the other person should not be relied upon;
  - The practitioner knows or reasonably should know that the other person is not competent or lacks the necessary qualifications to provide the advice; or
  - The practitioner knows or reasonably should know that the other person has a conflict of interest in violation with Circular 230
    - e.g., the conflict has not been properly waived

115 © 2022 All Rights Reserved
Michael G. Goller



# Standard of Review Have I Complied with the Rule?

 In evaluating whether a practitioner's written tax advice complies with Section 10.37, the IRS will apply a "reasonable practitioner" standard, considering all facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to the scope of the engagement and the type and specificity of the advice sought by the client

Reinhart
Boerner Van Deuren s.c. Attorneys at Law

# Standard of Review Have I Complied with the Rule?

In the case of an opinion the practitioner knows or has reason to know will be used or referred to by a person other than the practitioner in promoting, marketing or recommending a transaction, a significant purpose of which is the avoidance or evasion of tax, the IRS will apply an elevated "reasonable practitioner" standard. Emphasis will be given to the additional risk, caused by the practitioner's lack of knowledge of the specific taxpayer's particular circumstances (i.e., when tax advice is going to be used to promote a transaction to a third party, the IRS will apply an elevated standard of care).

17 © 2022 All Rights Reserv Michael G. Goller



### Due Diligence Section 10.22 (Revised June 9, 2014)


- Practitioner Must Exercise Due Diligence
  - Every practitioner must exercise due diligence when practicing before the IRS
    - This includes exercising diligence in preparing documents relating to IRS matters and verifying the correctness of oral and written presentations made to both the IRS and one's client with regard to any matter administered by the IRS
    - A practitioner's duty to be diligent is a very broad concept
    - A lack of diligence would seem to exist in most instances of deficient practice-related conduct



### Due Diligence Section 10.22 (Revised June 9, 2014)

- The concept of diligence seems to require more than the mere belief that a presentation is correct the moment it is submitted to the IRS or a client
  - The implied approval of past incorrect statements would seem to be a violation of Section 10.22
  - If a practitioner fails to correct an incorrect statement made to the IRS or a client, knowing full well that the recipient continues to rely on that statement
  - A failure to correct the error is inconsistent with the practitioner's obligation to be diligent





### Diligence as to Accuracy §10.22

• Trap for the unwary - FBAR (FinCin 114) and foreign bank accounts



© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



### IRS Circular 230 Issues (cont.)

### Written Advice and a Conflict of Interest

A prior adviser, who may have advised the client to claim the ERC, has a conflict because of the amount of the fee the adviser charged for the advice, then the practitioner's reliance on that advice may not be reasonable?



### **Use of Estimates**

- AICPA Statement on Standards for Tax Services No. 4, <u>Use of Estimates</u>
  - Unless prohibited by statute or by some other rule, a CPA may use the taxpayer's estimates in the preparation of a tax return if it is not practical to obtain exact data and if the CPA determines that the estimates are reasonable based on the facts and circumstances known to the CPA
    - The taxpayer's estimate should be presented in a manner that does not imply greater accuracy than exists

23 © 2022 All Rights Reserv Michael G. Goller



### Preparing a Tax Return

- The provisions of Circular 230 "piggy back" on I.R.C. Section 6694
  - Under Circular 230, practitioners may not willfully, recklessly or through gross incompetence sign (or advise a client to take a position on) a return or claim for refund if the practitioner knows or should know the return reports a position that lacks a reasonable basis, is an unreasonable position under I.R.C. Section 6694(a)(2), is a willful attempt to understate the tax liability, or is a reckless or intentional disregard of IRS rules or regulations

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller

# Preparer Penalty Standards Under I.R.C. Section 6694(a) and Cicular 230 Section 10.34

Standard	Preparer Duty			
Frivolous <sup>1</sup>	Cannot prepare tax return			
Reasonable basis <sup>2</sup>	Can prepare tax return with disclosure <sup>3</sup>			
Substantial authority <sup>4</sup>	Need not disclose unless a tax shelter or a Section 6662A Reportable Transaction <sup>5</sup>			
Reasonably believe more likely than not (i.e., more than 50%)	Need not disclose			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The percentage of comfort is perhaps 5% or less

125 © 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller Reinhart

# Competence — Section 10.35 (Revised June 9, 2014)

- A practitioner must possess the necessary competence to engage in practice before the IRS
- Competent practice requires knowledge, skill, thoroughness and the preparation necessary for the matter at issue
- A practitioner may become competent through various methods such as consulting with experts or studying the relevant law

<u>Comment</u>: Sections 10.35 and 10.36 together mean that managers have a duty to ensure that their subordinates have the requisite knowledge and skill and that they appropriately use that knowledge and skill



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Reasonable basis is defined in Section 1.6662-3(b)(3); the percentage of comfort is perhaps 20%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Use Form 8275 or 8275R, or disclose pursuant to annual revenue procedure (e.g., Rev. Proc. 2015-16)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Substantial authority" is defined in Section 1.6662-4(d). It is a comfort level of perhaps 40% or more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A tax shelter is an arrangement that has a significant purpose of avoidance or evasion of income tax. Section 6662(d)(2)(C)(iii). See Notice 2009-5 for how, in limited situations, to lower the standard to substantial authority for a tax shelter (basically educate the taxpayer about penalty exposure and document this fact)

### **AICPA Rules**

- AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, Section 50, Article V, <u>Due Care</u>
- "... Members should be diligent in discharging responsibilities to clients, employers and the public. Diligence imposes the responsibility to render services promptly and carefully, to be thorough, and to observe applicable technical and ethical standards ..."

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



### **MRPC-1.3**

 A lawyer shall act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client

128 Michael G. Goller 50488735

# Prompt Disposition of Matters and Responses to Requests for Information §10.20 and §10.23

- If the IRS makes a proper request for records or information, a practitioner must promptly respond to the request unless the practitioner reasonably has the good-faith belief that the information is privileged
- A practitioner may not unreasonably delay the prompt disposition of any matter before the IRS



129 © 2022 All Rights Reserv Michael G. Goller Reinhart

# Prompt Disposition of Matters and Responses to Requests for Information §10.20 and §10.23

- The practitioner must make a reasonable inquiry of the practitioner's client as to who has possession or control of the requested information
  - However, a practitioner need not make inquiry of any other persons or verify information provided by the client

<u>Comment</u>: Consider these rules when responding to a "wealth squad" IDR, a detailed LB&I IDR or a very broad discovery request.

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller

# Prompt Disposition of Matters and Responses to Requests for Information §§10.20 and 10.23

 Where the documents or information requested by the IRS are not in the possession of the practitioner or client, the practitioner must promptly provide the IRS employee seeking the information with any information the practitioner has about who has possession or control of the requested information

<u>Comment</u>: This rule certainly seems to raise Section 7525 and attorney-client concerns

31 © 2022 All Rights Reserved
Michael G. Goller



# Professional Responsibility and the Employee Retention Credit

Michael G. Goller 50488735

### **ERC - Circular 230 Issues**

### Purpose and Operation of the ERC

The ERC is a refundable tax credit. The ERC was enacted for employers who continued paying employees during a shutdown due to the COVID-19 pandemic or who experienced significant declines in gross receipts, from March 13, 2020, to December 31, 2021.

Eligible employers may claim the ERC on an original or amended Form 941.

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735



### **ERC - Circular 230 Issues**

To claim the ERC, employers must have:

- Sustained a full or partial suspension of their business operations due to orders from an appropriate governmental authority limiting commerce, travel, or group meetings due to COVID-19 during 2020 or the first three quarters of 2021,
- Experienced a significant decline in gross receipts during 2020 or the first three quarters of 2021 because of COVID-19, or
- Qualified as a recovery startup business for the third or fourth quarters of 2021. (Only recovery startup businesses are eligible for the ERC in the fourth quarter of 2021.)



### **ERC - Circular 230 Issues**

The amount of the ERC depends on various factors, including:

- · the number of employees
- · the amount of the employer's payroll and gross receipts
- · and whether the employer paid any sick or family leave wages.

The amount of the ERC reduces the employer's allowable wage deduction on its income tax return. Employers cannot claim the ERC for any quarter for which wages were reported as payroll costs in obtaining Payroll Protection Plan (PPP) loan forgiveness or were used to claim certain other tax credits.

135 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



### **ERC - Circular 230 Issues**

In its news releases, the IRS has noted that some advisers were urging employers to claim the ERC without appropriately informing them of the limitations on eligibility and the correct computation of the credit.

Michael G. Goller 50488735



### **ERC - Circular 230 Issues**

### Tax Professionals' Role in ERC Compliance

The IRS's outreach efforts to employers about possible excessive ERC claims have prompted requests from tax practitioners for the IRS—and, in particular, the Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR)—to provide guidance on their obligations in connection with clients' ERC claims.

7 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



### ERC - Circular 230 Issues (cont.)

### Diligence as to Accuracy - Section 10.22(a)

Practitioners who prepare income, employment, and other tax returns for clients have a duty of due diligence to inquire of their clients with sufficient detail to ascertain the information necessary to determine clients' eligibility for the ERC and to claim the proper amount of the ERC on the clients' returns.





# PART III High Net Worth And Family Office Issues

- FY 2022 Audit Campaign Issue
- High-income taxpayers will continue to receive audit attention (the audit rate is approximately 9% for those reporting income of \$1 Million to \$5 Million)
  - These taxpayers often have income and losses from flowthrough entities
  - Thus, the audit of an individual will often lead to the examination of various related entities



### High Net Worth Issues (cont.)

- The audit process involves a review of not only the taxpayer's personal income tax return, but also related partnership tax returns, fiduciary income tax returns, and estate and gift tax returns
- The audit is a complete review of the taxpayer(s) (i.e., the IRS uses LB&I Audit Methods and Techniques)

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735



### LAW360 Tax Authority

Pone +16467837100 | Fac +16467837161 | La stomersevire@law?60.com

### IRS Announces New Pass-Through Unit to Scrutinize Wealthy

By David van den Berg

Law360 (September 20, 2023, 6:33 PM EDT) - The Internal Revenue Service will launch a new group focused on scrutinizing pass-through organizations as part of its broader plan for beefing up enforcement work against the wealthy, according to an agency statement. . . [A] new unit scrutinizing pass-through organizations is part of a previously announced plan. . . to ramp up enforcement work against high-income earners, corporations and partnerships.

The agency said the initiative will drill down on large or complex pass-throughs. . . to ramp up enforcement work against high-income earners, corporations and partnerships. . .

The pass-through group will be housed in the IRS' Large Business & International Division, according to the agency. The pass-through entity's workforce will eventually also include current employees in both Large Business & International and the Small-Business & Self-Employed divisions, the agency said. The IRS' statement also said the pass-through group will include the more than 3,700 revenue agents it plans to hire for expanded enforcement work geared toward large corporations and complex partnerships. . .



### IRS Announces New Pass-Through Unit to Scrutinize Wealthy (cont.)

The IRS' strategic plan for the funding increase provided by the Inflation Reduction Act called for expanded enforcement work against large partnerships and said the agency would hire specialized compliance workers and train others to help ensure pass-through entities comply with the law. . .

Greater resources are needed to evaluate the compliance of pass-through entities, especially large and complex ones, and pass-through audit rates dropped because of funding cuts, the agency said. . . [its] the strategic plan. The agency audited 4.4% of pass-throughs in 2010, and the rate dropped to 0.1% in 2017, the most recent year with nearly all audits closed, according to the plan, which was released in April.

All Content © 2003-2023, Portfolio Media, Inc.

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



### High Net Worth Issues (cont.)

- Responding to Information Document Requests can be very burdensome, with a number of practical and ethical concerns
- IRS Counsel is often involved through the audit
- Often if information is not produced by the IDR deadline the IRS will issue a pre-summons letter and then an IRS summons

Michael G. Goller 50488735



### High Net Worth Issues (cont.)


### Some examples of the broad scope of high net worth audits include

- Estate and Gift tax issues
- Valuation issues
- Executive Compensation
- C corporation and S corporation issues
- Noncash charitable contributions


- Partnership and LLC issues
- Passive activity losses
- Foreign Trusts
- Foreign Bank Account reporting
- · Basis and At-Risk issues
- Transfer Pricing Issues
- Private airplane issues


Reinhart

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735



73





<i>y</i>				THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PERSON NA
	Form 4564 Department of th Internal Revenu Information Docum	e Service 001		
	To:	Subject: Initial IDR - Form 1040 - 201912		
		Submitted to:		
	Description of Description	Dates of Previous Requests: N/A		
	Description of Documents Requested:			
	The following should be included in the a. Name of each entity, b. Employer Identification Number, c. Ownership percentage in each entit d. U. S. tax treatment of entity, e. Country in which the entity was cree. f. Country in which the entity operate g. Business activity of the entity.	y, atled or organized.		
	If there is a difference in entities reported a please provide an explanation.			
	<ol> <li>Tax return workpapers and reconciliation (original and amended, if applicable) for</li> </ol>	on schedules used to prepare the Form 1040 rethe tax year ending December 31, 2019.	to the parties	
	<ol> <li>For all disregarded entities with activitie individual tax returns for the tax year en</li> </ol>	s reported on the Taxpayer's (each spouse) ding December 31, 2019, please provide:		
	reconciled to the Year-end Trial Ba b. Year-end Trial Balance file showing of the tax period balances.	peginning of the tax period balances and end mber, GL Account Name, Account Type),	4-1-71	
	Provide all Schedule K-1s issued to entity/entities (flowing into Form 1040) f Provide any Schedule K-1 workpapers a	and its disregarded or tax year ending December 31, 2019. and reconciliation to the 2019 tax return.		
	Information Due By 11/05/21 At Next Appo			
	Internal Revenue Agent	Date:     Date:		
149 © 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735	FROM Office Location: Internal Revenue Service; Mail Stop LB&I:ECPA:1113; 230 S. Dearborn; 6 Form 4564	4171 Chicago, IL 60604 Page 2 of 3		Reinhart
30100133				

			A 10 83	- 1 42 1		
	Form 4564	Form 4564 Department of the Tre Internal Revenue Ser Information Document		Request Number 001		
	To:	Infoliation Doctuine	Subject: Initial 201912	IDR - Form 1040 -		
			Submitted to:			
			Dates of Previo	us Requests: N/A		
A	Description of Doce 10. Any other tax		irs ending Decemb	er 31, 2019, including		
	10. Any other fax returns filed for the fax years ending December 31, 2016, including Excise Tax, 1098, 1098, W-2, 940, 941, Schedule H, and Gift or Estate Tax returns.  11. Copies of any gift or estate tax returns filed for the tax year ending December 31, 2019.  12. Did you make gifts in excess of \$15,000 during the tax year ending December 31, 2019? If yes, specify the amount of the gift, when it was made, and the name(s) of the recipient(s).					
	When responding to this IDR, please identify each response to each item requested in this IDR by labeling each response with the corresponding number or latter listed in the IDR. This will help facilitate an efficient review of the information provided and decrease the likelihood of additional follow up questions. Where documents exist in electronic format, please provide in original electronic format.			mber or letter listed in nation provided and ere documents exist in	4.6	
	Due Date: 11/05/202	21			W WAS	
	Information Due B	y 11/05/21 At Next Appoin		Mad In		
	Internal Re	evenue Agent I	Badge I Phon Fax:	Date: 10/8/2021		
ART A	FROM Office Loca Internal Re	ation: evenue Service; Mail Stop 41	Email:			
150 © 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller	Form 4564	A:1113; 230 S. Dearborn; Ch	nicago, iL 60604	Page 3 of 3		Reinhart
50488735						Boemer Van Deuren s.c. Attorneys at Law

# OBTAINING A "GOOD" VALUATION REPORT The Do's and Don'ts Obtaining a "Good" Report

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



### Privileges In a Tax Setting

- Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 501- Privileges in General
  - Rule 501 provides that common law governs a claim of privilege unless provided otherwise by the Constitution, a federal statute, or rules prescribed by the Supreme Court. In a civil case, state law governs.
- There are a Number of Relevant Privileges
  - Attorney-Client
  - Accountant-client or practitioner privilege
  - Work Product Doctrine
    - · Each can be waived
    - · There are exceptions to each Recent case law
  - Spousal Privilege



### Attorney-Client Privilege (cont.)

### The Kovel Letter

- The Privilege Can Extend Communications with the Attorney's Agents
- So long as a client's communication is made to an agent of an attorney (i.e., a CPA that has been retained by the attorney) in confidence, for the purpose of obtaining Legal Advice from the lawyer, it is privileged. *United States v. Schwimmer*, 892 F.2d 237, 243 (2d Cir. 1989).
- What is a Kovel Letter?
- This rule, generally known as the *Kovel* rule. The application of the *Kovel* rule can be difficult in situations where non-legal services, such as preparing a tax return, are provided with legal services because it is difficult to distinguish between communications made for the preparation of a tax return and those made for the provision of legal services. Because the *Kovel* rule rests on the attorney-client privilege, the protection of the *Kovel* rule is lost anytime the attorney-client privilege is lost.
- · When to use a Kovel Letter.

<u>Comment</u>: The key is the facilitation of communication between the lawyer and client

153 © 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller 50488735



- · How to retain an expert
  - Kovel letter
- · Does my expert understand the tax law?
  - Section 2703
  - Tax affecting earnings
  - Use of a weighted average when there are multiple valuation methods
- Reliance
- Privilege waiver
- Tax Court Requirements T.C. Rule 143(g)
- · Ethically What can I tell my experts?

Reinhart

### High Net Worth Issues

- Responding to Information Document Requests can be very burdensome, with a number of practical and <u>ethical</u> concerns
- IRS Counsel is often involved through the audit
- Often if information is not produced by the IDR deadline the IRS will issue a pre-summons letter and then an IRS summons

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



### **Qualified Appraisals**

See Goller Checklist

Michael G. Goller

# Lender Mgmt LLC V. Comm'r, T.C. Memo 2017-25

• Family office takes a profits interests in investments and is treated as a trade or business.

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



### PART IV Employment Tax Issues

- New Audit Program
- Three Main Issues -
  - Employee/Independent Contractor
  - Fringe benefit issues
  - Deduction issues

October 1, 2021 - A very interesting day

58 © 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller

### Fringe Benefit Issues

- Executive compensation issues in general
- Vehicle and tool per diem issues
- IRS is looking at the issue of whether employees are attempting to turn "wages" into taxable per diem allowances
  - Carefully scrutinize what expenses can be included in a per diem
- Comment: Contractors who have a large amount of unreimbursed business expenses are asking for increased per diems due to the nondeductability of these expenses.

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



### Section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978



- Generally allows taxpayer to treat worker as not being an employee for employment tax, but not income tax or other purposes
- Must have reasonable basis and meet certain requirements



# Section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978 (cont.)

- Reasonable basis for treating a worker as an independent contractor exists if the taxpayer reasonably relied on
- 1. Past IRS audit practice with respect to the taxpayer, or
- 2. Published rulings or judicial precedent, or
- 3. Long-standing recognized practice in the industry of which the taxpayer is a member, or
- 4. If the taxpayer has any "other reasonable basis" for treating a worker as an independent contractor.

0 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735



# Section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978 (cont.)

<u>Comment</u>: When section 530 relief is at issue, the IRS is supposed to consider the application of this relief before determining if an employment relationship existed.



# Section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978 (cont.)

<u>Comment</u>: When section 530 relief is at issue, the IRS is supposed to consider the application of this relief before determining if an employment relationship existed.

© 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller 50488735



# Section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978 (cont.)

- Additional requirements
  - 1. The taxpayer must not have treated the worker as an employee for any period
  - 2. All federal tax returns, including information returns, must have been filed on a basis consistent with treating such worker as an independent contractor
  - 3. The taxpayer (or a predecessor) must treat all workers holding substantially similar positions consistently for purposes of employment taxes
    - The "similar worker consistency requirement"



# Statute of Limitations in Employment Tax Cases

Section 6513 governs when a return is deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 6511 (i.e., for purposes of whether a claim for refund is timely filed). Subsection (c) pertains to Social Security Taxes and Income Tax Withholding (i.e., the taxes reported on a Form 941). Section 6513(c) provides that:

If a **return** for any period ending with or within a calendar year **is filed before April 15** of the succeeding calendar year, such return shall be considered filed on April 15 of such succeeding calendar year. § 6513(c)(1). (Emphasis added.)

Thus, when a Form 941 for a period is filed before April 15 of the following period, the tax return is considered filed on April 15 of that following year.

165 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



### **Interest-Free Adjustments**

- Generally, for employment tax (i.e., Form 941 obligations), if the adjustment to the Form 941 (i.e., the tax deficiency) is
  - paid on or before the due date of the 941 for the period in which the error is "ascertained,"
  - the amount of the underpayment shall be paid without interest being charged.
- An error is ascertained when resolved at examination or with appeals.



### Interest-Free Adjustments (cont.)

- If, however, the case is not resolved at Appeals and the taxpayer receives a notice and demand for payment from the IRS, the adjustment will not be interest free.
- In addition, the taxpayer will not be allowed an interest free adjustment where a prior audit found that additional tax was due with respect to the same issue.

67 © 2022 All Rights Reserve Michael G. Goller



## Make Sure During the Audit That the Burden of Proof Will Switch at Trial

- Burden to IRS
  - In most civil controversies, a rebuttable presumption existed that the IRS's determination of tax liability is correct
    - *i.e.*, the taxpayer has the burden of proving the IRS is wrong
  - Section 7491 switched the burden to the government in any non-criminal court proceedings, regarding a factual issue, if the taxpayer introduces credible evidence, which is relevant to determination of its liability.



### Switching the Burden of Proof to the IRS

- Burden of proof can be important in valuation cases.
- This is especially so if the IRS does not obtain a good valuation report.
- Thus, failure to shift the burden can be a significant malpractice issue.

<u>Comment</u>: Given IRS budget issues, it is more difficult for the IRS to obtain a solid valuation report.

169 © 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



# Make Sure During the Audit That the Burden of Proof Will Switch at Trial (cont.)

 The requirement to prove credible evidence means that the burden technically starts out on the taxpayer, but shifts to the government unless the taxpayer produces evidence that would enable the court to find in favor of the taxpayer, absent any contrary evidence being produced by the IRS and ignoring the judicial presumption of IRS correctness.



# Make Sure During the Audit That the Burden of Proof Will Switch at Trial (cont.)

- Finally, the shift in the burden of proof applies to all income, gift, estate, generation-skipping, taxes and all penalties in addition to tax
  - However, it does not apply to corporations, partnerships or trusts with the net worth exceeding \$7 million (book value)

© 2022 All Rights Reserved Michael G. Goller



# CONCLUSION T2 C 2022 All Rights Reserved Mechanic G Golder Section 1997 Mechanic G Golder 1997 Mech